

Formal Specification F28FS2, Lecture 5

Jamie Gabbay

January 27, 2014

Taking stock

Propositions have truth-values.

Variables have types.

Sets have elements.

Schema assert truths.

If S is a schema then ΔS is a pair of before and after states, with no assertions connecting them, and ΞS is a pair of before and after states, with assertions that they take equal values (think: measurement).

Combining schema

Suppose schema A , B , B' :

| |
|------------------|
| A |
| $a : \mathbb{Z}$ |
| $a = 42$ |

| |
|---------------------|
| B |
| $a, b : \mathbb{Z}$ |
| $a = b + 2$ |
| $b < 10$ |

| |
|----------------------------|
| B' |
| $B : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{Z}$ |
| $42 \in B$ |

Write $A \text{ and } B \hat{=} A \wedge B$ for the schema which asserts the content of A and B .

| |
|---|
| $A \text{ and } B$ |
| $a, b : \mathbb{Z}$ |
| $a = 42 \wedge (a = (b + 2) \wedge b < 10)$ |

Combining schema

A and B establish some state variables and predicates on them.
 $A \text{ and } B$ combines these.

Combining schema

Why stop at \wedge ? We have \vee , \Rightarrow , and \Leftrightarrow .

The pattern is always the same: combine the state variables and the predicates.

Write $A \text{ implies } B' \hat{=} A \Rightarrow B'$ for

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} A \text{ implies } B' \\ a : \mathbb{Z}, B : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{Z} \end{array}}{a = 42 \Rightarrow (42 \in B)}$$

We can form $A \text{ or } B \hat{=} A \vee B$.

... and so on.

Recall: *ClubState*

ClubState

badminton : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

hall : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

hall \subseteq badminton

#hall \leq maxplayers

This says:

ClubState

- ▶ badminton is a set of students (I suppose: the students that play badminton).
- ▶ hall is a set of students (the students in the badminton hall, which has a capacity of 20?).
- ▶ Students in the hall must play badminton (so they've obviously got a man on the door checking?).
- ▶ ...and you can't have more people in the hall than its capacity.

Recall: *AddMember*

AddMember

badminton : \mathbb{P} STUDENT, hall : \mathbb{P} STUDENT
badminton' : \mathbb{P} STUDENT, hall' : \mathbb{P} STUDENT
newmember? : STUDENT

hall \subseteq badminton #hall \leq maxplayers
hall' \subseteq badminton' #hall' \leq maxplayers'
newmember? \notin badminton
badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}
hall' = hall

Recall: *AddMember*

Or more succinctly:

AddMember

$\Delta ClubState$

newmember? : STUDENT

newmember? \notin badminton

badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}

hall' = hall

Parenthetic note: Renaming

What if you want to rename variables in a schema?

$S[x/a, y/b, z/c]$ represents S with a renamed to x , b renamed to y , and c renamed to z .

ClubState

badminton : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

hall : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

hall \subseteq badminton

#hall \leq maxplayers

FootyClub

football : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

pitch : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

pitch \subseteq football

#pitch \leq maxplayers

$FootyClub = ClubState[football/badminton, pitch/hall]$

Recall: *AddMember*

So we can write

$\Delta ClubState$

as

$ClubState \wedge ClubState[hall'/hall, badminton'/badminton]$.

Refining *AddMember*

hall \subseteq badminton suggests that hall is just the students **in the badminton club** in the hall.

There may be other people in the hall.

There are the rowers in the corner on their machines, the hockey players, the rock-climbers, maybe even a bit of ping-pong.

If one of these non-badminton-players sees the empty futility of their non-badminton-player ways, they may join the badminton club.

This epiphany might come at any time; while they're in the hall, or even just while they're outside the hall, perhaps studying Formal Spec.

Refining *AddMember*

Introduce an enumerated type $\text{LOCATION} ::= \text{inside} \mid \text{outside}$

AddMemberInHall _____

$\Delta \text{ClubState}$

$\text{newmember?} : \text{STUDENT}$

$\text{where?} : \text{LOCATION}$

$\text{where?} = \text{inside}$

$\text{newmember?} \notin \text{badminton}$

$\#\text{hall} < \text{maxPlayers}$

$\text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember?}\}$

$\text{hall}' = \text{hall} \cup \text{newMember?}$

AddMemberOutHall _____

$\Delta \text{ClubState}$

$\text{newmember?} : \text{STUDENT}$

$\text{where?} : \text{LOCATION}$

$\text{where?} = \text{outside}$

$\text{newmember?} \notin \text{badminton}$

$\text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember?}\}$

$\text{hall}' = \text{hall}$

Refining *AddMember*

AddMemberAnywhere $\hat{=}$

AddMemberInHall \vee *AddMemberOutHall*

AddMemberAnywhere describes a program which checks where the member is (inside, outside) and does the right thing accordingly.

Isn't that a bit magic?

This is a case-split. \vee is a case-split. \vee on schema is a case-split for schema. \wedge is like a parallel execution.

But there is no notion of flow of control or execution here. Just specifications.

Go on, tell me this isn't pretty. I dare you.

Initial State

What's the initial state of the badminton club?

How about this:

| |
|----------------------|
| <i>InitClubState</i> |
| <i>ClubState'</i> |
| badminton' = {} |
| hall' = {} |

It's a convention to use 'after' (with prime; with dash) state variables in initial states.

This is because the initial state takes place **after** initialisation.

Initial State

The initial state had **better** satisfy the conditions for *ClubState*.

That is, $\text{hall}' \subseteq \text{badminton}'$ and $\#\text{hall}' \leq \text{maxplayers}$.

So let's check $\{\} \subseteq \{\}$ and $0 \leq \text{maxplayers}$.

Totalising operations

AddMember

$\Delta ClubState$

newmember? : STUDENT

newmember? \notin badminton

badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}

hall' = hall

Note the **precondition** newmember? \notin badminton.

What if **not** newmember? \notin badminton. (So newmember? \in badminton holds.)

Not *Addmember's* problem: *AddMember* specifies the behaviour of a **PARTIAL** function.

Totalising operations

What do we do about this in Z? How do we make this specification of a partial function, into a specification of a total function?

We need to **totalise** the schema.

Totalising operations

Recall the no-op:

| |
|--|
| $\exists ClubState$ |
| $\Delta ClubState$ |
| $badminton' = badminton$ $hall' = hall$ |

| |
|--|
| $\exists ClubState$ |
| $badminton, hall : \mathbb{P}STUDENT$ $badminton', hall' : \mathbb{P}STUDENT$ |
| $hall \subseteq badminton, \#hall \leq maxplayers$ $hall' \subseteq badminton', \#hall' \leq maxplayers'$ $hall' = hall, badminton' = badminton$ |

Totalising operations

MESSAGE ::= success | isMember

| |
|----------------------------|
| $IsMember$ _____ |
| $\exists ClubState$ |
| newMember? : STUDENT |
| outcome! : MESSAGE |
| _____ |
| newMember? \in badminton |
| outcome! = isMember |

| |
|------------------------|
| $SuccessMessage$ _____ |
| outcome! : MESSAGE |
| _____ |
| outcome! = SUCCESS |

$TotalAddMember \hat{=}$

$(AddMember \wedge SuccessMessage) \vee IsMember.$

Totalising operations

Programs in C and Java are automatically total; they take an input, give an output.

A schema is total when the outcome is specified for all possible inputs. Schema can be partial.

Go through the previous specs: *RemoveMember*, *EnterHall*, *LeaveHall*, *NotInHall*. Which of these are total? Totalise the ones that are not.

Hiding

$S \setminus b$ is the schema obtained by **existentially quantifying** b in S .
Best explained by example:

$$\begin{array}{|l} \hline A \\ \hline a : \mathbb{Z} \\ \hline a = 42 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|l} \hline \text{HideA} \\ \hline \exists a : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \\ \hline a = 42 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|l} \hline B \\ \hline a, b : \mathbb{Z} \\ \hline a = b + 2 \\ \hline b < 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|l} \hline \text{HideB} \\ \hline a : \mathbb{Z} \\ \hline \exists b : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \\ \hline (a = b + 2 \wedge \\ \hline b < 10) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Similarly for $S \setminus a, b$ and so on.

Hiding

Note that $\exists b : \mathbb{Z} \bullet (a = b + 2 \wedge b < 10)$ means the same thing as $a < 12$.

So we can equivalently write *HideB* as:

| |
|------------------|
| <i>HideB</i> |
| $a : \mathbb{Z}$ |
| $a < 12$ |

Another example of hiding

Define $AddWho \hat{=} AddMember \setminus newMember?$:

| |
|---|
| $AddMember$ _____ |
| $\Delta ClubState$ |
| $newMember? : STUDENT$ |
| _____ |
| $newMember? \notin badminton$ |
| $badminton' = badminton \cup$ $\{newMember?\}$ |
| $hall' = hall$ |

| |
|---|
| $AddWho$ _____ |
| $\Delta ClubState$ |
| _____ |
| $\exists newMember? : STUDENT \bullet$ |
| $(newMember? \notin badminton \wedge$ |
| $badminton' = badminton \cup$ $\{newMember?\} \wedge$ $hall' = hall)$ |

Calculating preconditions

Define $SuccessAddMember \hat{=} AddMember \wedge SuccessMessage$.

| |
|---|
| $AddMember$ _____ |
| $\Delta ClubState$ |
| $newmember? : STUDENT$ |
| _____ |
| $newmember? \notin badminton$ |
| $badminton' = badminton \cup$ $\{newmember?\}$ |
| $hall' = hall$ |

| |
|------------------------|
| $SuccessMessage$ _____ |
| $outcome! : MESSAGE$ |
| _____ |
| $outcome! = SUCCESS$ |

I **bet** you don't understand that. It's got a bit complicated, hasn't it?

Partially expand the definition

SuccessAddMember

$\Delta ClubState$

newmember? : STUDENT

outcome! : MESSAGE

newmember? \notin badminton

badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}

hall' = hall

outcome! = success

That's a bit better — but not good enough. We want to **expand more!**

Expand further

SuccessAddMember

ClubState

badminton', hall' : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

newmember? : STUDENT

outcome! : MESSAGE

hall' \subseteq badminton'

#hall' \leq maxPlayers

newmember? \notin badminton

badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}

hall' = hall

outcome! = success

Calculating preconditions

Recall:

$\text{badminton}', \text{hall}' : \mathbb{P}\text{STUDENT}$ are the state **after**.

$\text{badminton}, \text{hall} : \mathbb{P}\text{STUDENT}$ are the state **before**.

newMember? is the **input**.

output! is the **output**.

It is Z convention to so name variables: ' for after, ? for input, ! for output.

AddMemberSuccess is an (abstract) program, just like in a real programming language.

But is it defined for all input states and all inputs?

SuccessAddMember \ {badminton', hall', outcome!}

pre SuccessAddMember

ClubState

newmember? : STUDENT

$\exists \text{badminton}', \text{hall}' : \mathbb{P}\text{STUDENT}; \text{outcome!} : \text{MESSAGE} \bullet$

$\text{hall}' \subseteq \text{badminton}' \wedge \#\text{hall}' \leq \text{maxPlayers}$

$\wedge \text{newmember}' \notin \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember}'\}$

$\wedge \text{hall}' = \text{hall} \wedge \text{outcome!} = \text{success}$

Set $\text{hall}' = \text{hall}$ and drop outcome! .

$\exists \text{outcome!} : \text{MESSAGE} \bullet \text{outcome!} = \text{success}$ is true and we do not mention outcome! elsewhere.

SuccessAddMember \ {badminton', hall', output!}, simplified

pre SuccessAddMember

ClubState

newmember? : STUDENT

\exists badminton' •

$\text{hall} \subseteq \text{badminton}' \wedge \#\text{hall} \leq \text{maxPlayers}$

$\wedge \text{newmember}' \notin \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember}'\}$

$\wedge \text{hall} = \text{hall}$

We drop $\text{hall} = \text{hall}$ and note that $\#\text{hall} \leq \text{maxPlayers}$, which was a condition on hall' , is now something that's already in *ClubState*.

SuccessAddMember \ {badminton', hall', output!},
simplified more

pre SuccessAddMember

ClubState

newmember? : STUDENT

\exists badminton' •

$\text{hall} \subseteq \text{badminton}' \wedge \text{newmember?} \notin \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember?}\}$

$\text{hall} \subseteq \text{badminton}$ by *ClubState* and

$\text{badminton}' = \text{badminton} \cup \{\text{newmember?}\}$, so $\text{hall} \subseteq \text{badminton}'$
is guaranteed.

SuccessAddMember \ {badminton', hall', output!}, simplified even more

pre SuccessAddMember _____

ClubState

newmember? : STUDENT

\exists badminton' •

newmember? \notin badminton

\wedge badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?}

\exists badminton' • badminton' = badminton \cup {newmember?} is as useful as a barber shop on the steps of the guillotine; cut it off.

SuccessAddMember \ {badminton', hall', output!},
simplified ridiculously

pre SuccessAddMember _____

ClubState

newmember? : STUDENT

newmember? \notin badminton

There's your precondition: **newmember? \notin badminton**.

We found a but. The program fails if $\text{newmember?} \in \text{badminton}$.

pre SuccessAddMember

Another description is this:

The operation described by *SuccessAddMember* is not total; it is not defined if $\text{newmember?} \in \text{badminton}$.

Fact

Fact. *pre* distributes over disjunction:

$$\text{pre } (S \vee T) = \text{pre } S \vee \text{pre } T.$$

So to check if *TotalAddMember* really **is** total, it suffices to calculate *pre IsMember* and see if it is `newMember? ∈ badminton`.

Let's do it: let our slogan be **expand, hide, simplify**.

Expand, hide, simplify: IsMember

IsMember _____

$\exists ClubState$

newMember? : STUDENT

outcome! : MESSAGE

newMember? \in badminton

outcome! = isMember

IsMember _____

ClubState

badminton', hall' : \mathbb{P} STUDENT

newMember? : STUDENT

outcome! : MESSAGE

hall' \subseteq badminton'

#hall' \leq maxPlayers

newMember? \in badminton

outcome! = isMember

badminton' = badminton

hall' = hall

Expand, hide, simplify: IsMember

pre IsMember

ClubState

newMember? : STUDENT

$\exists \text{badminton}', \text{hall}' : \mathbb{P}\text{STUDENT}; \text{outcome!} : \text{MESSAGE} \bullet$

$\text{hall}' \subseteq \text{badminton}'$

$\wedge \#\text{hall}' \leq \text{maxPlayers}$

$\wedge \text{newMember?} \in \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{outcome!} = \text{isMember}$

$\wedge \text{badminton}' = \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{hall}' = \text{hall}$

Expand, hide, simplify: IsMember

pre IsMember

ClubState

newMember? : STUDENT

\exists *outcome!* : MESSAGE●

$\text{hall} \subseteq \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \#\text{hall} \leq \text{maxPlayers}$

$\wedge \text{newMember?} \in \text{badminton}$

$\wedge \text{outcome!} = \text{isMember}$

(Don't rush this. One step at a time.)

Expand, hide, simplify: IsMember

pre IsMember _____

ClubState

newMember? : STUDENT

hall \subseteq badminton

\wedge #hall \leq maxPlayers

\wedge newMember? \in badminton

Expand, hide, simplify: IsMember

| |
|----------------------------|
| $pre\ IsMember$ |
| $ClubState$ |
| $newMember? : STUDENT$ |
| $newMember? \in badminton$ |

That's it, we're done. $TotalAddMember$ is total.

$$\begin{aligned}pre\ TotalAddMember &= pre\ SuccessAddMember \vee pre\ IsMember \\ &= newMember? \notin badminton \vee newMember? \in badminton \\ &= T\end{aligned}$$