F28PL1 Programming Languages

Lecture 16: Prolog 1

- logic programming language
- roots in predicate logic
- developed by Alan Colmerauer & collaborators in Marseilles, in early 1970s
- ISO standard derived from University of Edinburgh
- adapted for Japanese 5th Generation programme, 1980s
- now widely used for Artificial Intelligence research & education

- based on *logic programming*
 - use of predicate logic as a specification language
 - an implementation of predicate logic would enable the use of specifications directly as programs
- concentrate on describing a problem solution as an input/output relation
 - not an input/output process
 - i.e. in a *descriptive* rather than a *prescriptive* manner

- enables a high degree of abstraction and of implementation independence
- emphasis is on *what* is to be done rather than *how* it is to be done
- predicate logic has a well developed proof theory
 - use formal techniques to manipulate/verify specifications
- specification can be used to :
 - check that outputs correspond to inputs
 - find outputs from inputs
 - find inputs from outputs

- not a pure logic programming language
- known evaluation order for predicate manipulation
 - implementation considerations are used by programmers
- many predicates can only be used for checking or for finding outputs from inputs but not both
- quantification must be made explicit

- differences with imperative languages:
 - no necessary distinction between programs and data
 - there is no concept of a statement as a state change, for example, through assignment
 - like functional languages
 - evaluation order is not necessarily linear

Prolog resources

- we will use SICStus Prolog interpreter
 - from the Swedish Institute of Computer Science
 - licenses cost real money don't buy one!
- SICStus documentation from:
 - <u>http://www.sics.se/isl/sicstuswww/site/documentation.html</u>
- free Prologs from:
 - <u>http://www.gprolog.org/</u>
 - <u>http://www.swi-prolog.org/</u>
- W. F. Clocksin & C. S. Mellish, Programming in Prolog: Using the ISO Standard, (5th edition), Springer, 2003

Running Prolog

- to run Prolog on Linux:
- \$ sicstus

```
SICStus 4.2.1 (x86_64-linux-glibc2.7):
```

Wed Feb 1 01:15:06 CET 2012

Licensed to SP4macs.hw.ac.uk

| ?-

- | ?- Prolog prompt
- system commands are Prolog *terms*

- end with a .

Running Prolog

- system does not support interactive editing
 - use separate windows for program & interpreter
- to load a program
- | ?- [file name].
- *file name* is any valid Linux path
- if not a single word then in '...'
- file name convention

– Prolog files end with .pl

Running Prolog

- to turn on tracing:
- | ? trace.
- to turn off tracing:
- | ? notrace.
- to leave Prolog
- | ?- ^D

Prolog summary

- weak types
 - i.e. can change type associated with variable
- dynamic typing
 - i.e. types checked at run time
- ad-hoc polymorphism
 - variable can be bound to different types as program runs
- non-linear evaluation
 - programs may backtrack to unbind and rebind variables

Memory model

- database
 - holds asserted *facts* and *rules*
 - searched and manipulated to answer questions
 - may change arbitrarily during program
- stack
 - variable bindings
 - information about current position(s) in database
- heap

- space allocated to data structures

Programs

- Prolog program consists of series of *clauses* specifying:
 - facts
 - rules
 - -questions
- load program from file
- system will:
 - remember *fact*s & *rule*s in database
 - attempt to satisfy *questions* using *facts* & *rules* in database

- clauses made up of terms
- atom
 - words or symbols
- sequence of lower case letters, digits & _s
 starting with a lower case letter
- sequence of characters in '...'
- e.g. size top45 -- +++ fish_finger

'one hundred'

- integer
- e.g. 0 777 42 -199
- variable
 - sequence of letters, digits and _s
 - starting with an upper case letter or a _
- e.g. Cost X_11 _Property

• structure

– constructs for program and data structures

functor(arguments)

- *functor* atom
- arguments one or more terms separated by , s
- e.g.wet(water) cost(milk,95)
- recursive definition
 - nested structures as arguments
- e.g. parents(mark,mother(margaret),

father(dennis))

• *infix* structures

term atom term

- atom usually a symbol
- used for infix operations
- e.g. 7*8 X=99
- NB these are structures not expressions

- * and = are symbols

Facts

- a fact is a structure
- e.g. fly(pigs)
- e.g.ordered(1,3,2)
- NB facts have no necessary meanings

Questions 1

• suppose l16.pl holds:

wet(water).

cost(milk,95).

```
| ?- ['l16.pl'].
```

yes

...

• *facts* now in database

Question matching

- *question* is a *structure*
- if no *variables* in *question* then system:
 - looks for a database clause
 - with the same *functor* and *arguments* as the *question*
 - -displays yes or no

Question matching

- is wet(water) a fact?
- ?- wet(water).

- try wet(water)
 - water matches water

Question matching

• does milk cost 85?

| ?- cost(milk,85). no

- try cost(milk,95)
 - milk matches milk
 - 85 doesn't match 95

- if *variables* in *question* then system:
 - looks for a *clause* in the database with:
 - same functor as question
 - *atoms* in same *argument* positions as in *question*
 - instantiates variables in question to terms in same positions in assertion
 - displays *question* variable instantiations
- use this form to search database for values in clauses satisfying query

- for what X is wet true?
- ?- wet(X).
- X = water ? press Return

- match wet(X)
- try wet(water)
 - X instantiated to water

- what X has cost 95?
- | ?- cost(X,95).
- X = milk ? press Return

- try cost(milk,95)
 - cost matches cost
 - 95 matches 95
 - X instantiated to milk

- what X has cost Y?
- ?- cost(X,Y).
- X = milk
- Y = 95 ? press Return

- try cost(milk,95)
 - cost matches cost
 - X instantiated to milk
 - Y instantiated to 95

Multiple facts

 can have multiple *facts* with same *functor* and different *arguments*

• e.g.

wet(water).

wet(milk).

wet(orange_juice).

Multiple facts

multiple *facts* with the same *functor* are logical *disjunctions*

functor (argument1).

functor (argument2).

Т

. . .

functor (*argument1*) or *functor* (*argument2*) or ...

Backtracking

- when system offers solution
- 1. press Return
 - accept solution
 - system displays yes
- 2. enter no
 - reject solution
 - system will backtrack
 - uninstantiate any *variables* in *question*
 - try to find another *clause* matching *question*

Backtracking

- | -? wet(X)
- match wet(X)
- try wet(water)
 - -X instantiated to water
- X = water ? no
- uninstantiate X from water
- try wet(milk)
 - -X instantiated to milk
- X = milk ?

Backtracking

- X = milk ? no
- uninstantiate X from milk
- try wet(orange_juice)
 - -X instantiated to orange_juice
- X = orange_juice ? no
- uninstantiate X from orange_juice
- no more matches

Terms and variables

- all occurrences of a variable in a *term* are the same instance
- whenever one occurrence of a variable is bound to a value
 - all occurrences now reference that value
- e.g. same(X,X).

– both X's are the same variable

Matching variables

- when a *question* term with variables matches a database *term* with variables
 - variables in the same position *share*
- | ?- same(fish,Y).
- match same(X,X)
- try same(fish,Y)
 - -X instantiated to fish
 - -X shares with Y
- Y = fish ?

Rules

- rules are superficially similar to methods or functions
 fundamental differences...
- a rule has the form:

head :- body

- means:
 - 1. the *head* is true if the *body* is true
 - 2. the *body* implies the *head*
- *head term*, usually an *atom* or *structure*
- body term, often a conjunction of terms separated by , i.e. , behaves like logical and

Variables in rules

- all occurrences of a variable in a *term* are the same instance
- so occurrences of variables in the *head* are the same instance as occurrences in the *body*
- whenever an occurrence of a variable in the body is bound to a value
 - all other occurrences reference that value
 - including occurrences in the *head*
- use this to get results back from body of rule to head

Rules

• suppose we have the *facts*:

mother(betty,ann).

mother(delia,betty).

• X is Y's parent if they are Y's mother

parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y).


Rule matching

- to match a *rule*, try to match the *body*
- to match the body, try all body options in turn
- if matching the *body* fails:
 - backtrack, undoing any variable instantiations
 - try the next *rule* option

Rule matching

- | ?- parent(delia,P).
- try parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y)
- X instantiated to delia
- Y and P share
 - match mother(delia,Y)
 - -try mother(betty,ann)
 - delia does not match betty

Rule matching

- backtrack
- -match mother(delia,Y)
- -try mother(delia,betty)
- -delia matches delia
- -bind Y to betty
- P shares with Y so:
- P = betty ?

Multiple rules

multiple *rules* with the same *functor* are like logical disjunctions

functor (arguments1) :- body1.

functor (arguments2) :- body2.

ᄑ

- - -

functor (arguments1) :- body1 or
functor (arguments2) :- body2 or ...

• suppose we have the *facts*:

mother(betty,ann).

mother(delia, betty).

father(chris,ann).

father(eric,betty).

X is Y's parent if they are Y's mother or Y's father
 parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y).
 parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y).

- | ?- parent(P,Q).
- try parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y)
 - P shares with X
 - -Q shares with Y
 - match mother(X,Y)
 - -try mother(betty,ann)
 - -X instantiated to betty (shares with P)
 - -Y instantiated to ann (shares with Y)
- P = betty
- Q = ann? no

- backtrack
- match mother(X,Y)
- -try mother(delia, betty)
- -X insantiated to delia (shared with P)
- Y instantiated to betty (shared with Q)
- P = delia
- Q = betty ? no
 - backtrack

- try parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y)
 - P shares with X
 - -Q shares with Y
 - match father(X,Y)
 - -try father(chris,ann)
 - -X instantiated to chris (shared with P)
 - -Y instantiated to ann (shared with Q)
- P = chris
- Q = ann? no

• if the *body* is a conjunction:

functor(arguments) :- term1,term2...

- *body* is equivalent to: *term1* and *term2* and ...
- to match conjunctive *body*, match each *termi* in turn
- if matching termi fails then backtrack to termi-1 and try again
- NB system must remember how far each *termi* has progressed
- NB *termi* will also involve nested terms for nested rules

Rule example

consider the train from Dundee to Aberdeen:
Dundee->Arbroath->Montrose->Stonehaven->Aberdeen
next(dundee, arbroath).
next(arbroath, montrose).
next(montrose, stonehaven).
next(stonehaven, aberdeen).
X is before Y if X is next to Y or

X is next to W and W is before Y

before(X,Y) :- next(X,Y).

before(X,Y) :- before(X,W),next(W,Y).

Rule example

| ?- before(arbroath,aberdeen).

yes

- try before(arbroath, aberdeen) :- next(arbroath, aberdeen)
 - try next(arbroath,aberdeen)
 - fail & backtrack
- try before(arbroath, aberdeen) :
 - before(arbroath,W),next(W,aberdeen)
 - try before(arbroath,W),next(W,aberdeen)
 - try before(arbroath,W) :- next(arbroath,W)
 - next(arbroath,W)
 - matches next(arbroath,montrose)
 - before(arbroath,W) succeeds with W instantiated to montrose
 - try next(montrose, aberdeen)
 - fail & backtrack

Rule example

- try before(arbroath,W) :-
- before(arbroath,W'),next(W',W)
 - where W' is a new variable

try before(arbroath,W') :- next(arbroath,W')

- try next(arbroath,W')

- matches next(arbroath,montrose)

before(arbroath,W') succeeds with W' instantiated to montrose
try next(montrose,W)

- matches next(montrose, stonehaven)

- before(arbroath, W) succeeds with W instantiated to stonehaven
- try next(stonehaven,aberdeen)
 - matches next(stonehaven, aberdeen)
- before(arbroath, aberdeen) succeeds