

Programming ~~Paradigms~~ Languages F28PL,  
Lecture 4  
Polymorphic and higher-order list operations

Jamie Gabbay

October 14, 2016

## Polymorphic list length function

Let's design `length: 'a list -> 'a list` to calculate the length of a list. Note the polymorphic type. Recall our slogan: **A list is either empty or a head and a tail.**

- ▶ Base case: `[] ==> 0`.
- ▶ Recursion case: `(h::t) ==> 1 + length of t`.

This design is almost precisely the ML code itself:

```
- fun length [] = 0
  | length (_::t) = 1+length t;
> val length = fn : 'a list -> int
- length ["a","b","c"];
> 3 : int
length ["a","b","c"] ==> 1+length ["b","c"] ==>
1+1+length ["c"] ==> 1+1+1+length [] ==> 1+1+1+0 ==> 3
```

## Polymorphic list append

First, choose the type:

```
append: 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list.
```

Next, recursively design the function. **Classic n00b error: pattern-match on everything in sight.** Don't; it's not necessary here. Just pattern-match on the first argument.

- ▶ Appending [] to l gives l.
- ▶ Appending hd::tl to l gives hd appended to the result of appending tl to l.

Obvious, isn't it? Corresponding ML code:

```
- fun append [] l2 = l2
  | append (h1::t1) l2 = h1::append t1 l2;
> val append =
  fn : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list
- append ["a","b","c"] ["d","e","f"];
> ["a","b","c","d","e","f"] : string list
```

## Polymorphic list append

```
append ["a","b","c"] ["d","e","f"]
==> "a"::append ["b","c"] ["d","e","f"]
==> "a"::"b"::append ["c"] ["d","e","f"]
==> "a"::"b"::"c"::append [] ["d","e","f"]
==> "a"::"b"::"c"::["d","e","f"]
==> ["a","b","c","d","e","f"]
```

In fact, append is primitive in ML.

```
> op @; (* infix operator so write "op" to fetch function *)
val it = fn : 'a list * 'a list -> 'a list
- [1,2,3]@[4,5,6];
> [1,2,3,4,5,6] : int list
```

Two differences from our function append: 1. `op @` is probably optimised to the underlying list representation of the specific ML implementation; and 2. the types are different.

Make sure you understand how. Make sure you can write an append function of type `'a list * 'a list -> 'a list`.

## List member

Let's pick up the pace:

1. Intended type is `'a -> 'a list -> bool` (but see below).
2. `e1` is not in `[]`, and
3. `e1` is in `e1::tl`, and
4. otherwise `e1` is in `e1::tl` if `e1` is in `tl`.

Patterns in ML are **affine**—a variable may occur at most once. So we can't write clause 2 above in ML as `member e1 (e1::tl) = ....`

No matter:

```
- fun member _ [] = false
  | member e1 (e2::t) = e1=e2 orelse member e1 t;
> val member =
  fn : ''a -> ''a list -> bool
```

## List member

Note the difference in types: we wanted `'a -> 'a list -> bool` but we got.

```
> val member =  
  fn : ''a -> ''a list -> bool
```

Thus `member` is polymorphic over **equality types**.

This is natural: in order to check `member e1 l` we need to be able to check whether elements of `l` are equal to `e1`.

(Python would be more optimistic: it would check mathematical equality where it can, and fall back to comparison of pointers where it can't. But that relies on having a von Neumann machine in the background.)

## Add (push to queue)

When you get into this, the ML becomes *easier* to read than the English:

```
- fun add e [] = [e] |
    add e1 (e2::t) = if e1=e2
                    then e2::t
                    else e2::add e1 t;
> val add =
    fn : 'a -> 'a list -> 'a list
> add 5 [1,2,3,4];
val it = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] : int list
> add "forty-two" ["And","the","answer","is"];
val it = ["And", "the", "answer", "is", "forty-two"]
    : string list
```

## List remove/delete first occurrence

```
- fun delete _ [] = [] |
  delete e1 (e2::t) = if e1=e2
    then t
    else e2::delete e1 t;
> val delete =
  fn : 'a -> 'a list -> 'a list
> delete 0 [1,0,~1,2,0,~2];
val it = [1, ~1, 2, 0, ~2] : int list
```

Exercise: Write yourself a 'delete all occurrences' function, please.



## Higher-order functions

A higher-order function is a function that inputs a function. In other languages, this may be called a **functor**.

In ML, no such distinction is made: functors are just a particular special case of functions.

Here are some examples of types that higher-order functions might have:

```
('a -> 'b) -> ('b -> 'c) -> ('a -> 'c)
('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list
('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b list
(('a -> 'b) -> 'b) -> 'a
```

It is often possible to deduce what a function must do, just from its type. For instance, the only thing that could populate `('a -> 'b) -> ('b -> 'c) -> ('a -> 'c)` is `fn f => fn g => g o f`.

## Higher-order functions

Great for abstraction and code-reuse. Consider  $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{'a list} \rightarrow \text{'a list}$ . The only reasonable function that populates this type is `filter`:

```
- fun filter _ [] = [] |  
    filter p (h::t) = if p h then h::filter p t  
                      else filter p t;  
  
> val filter =  
    fn : ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

In words `filter p l` traverses `l` throwing out elements that don't satisfy `p`.

Very common operation, that.

## Lists

```
- fun isPos x = x>0; (* Our p: 'is positive' *)
> val isPos = fn : int -> bool
- filter isPos [-2,1,0,2];
> [1,2] : int list
(* Execution:
filter isPos [-2,1,0,2]
==> filter isPos [1,0,2]
==> 1::filter isPos [0,2]
==> 1::filter isPos [2]
==> 1::2::filter isPos []
==> 1::2::[] ==> [1,2] *)
```

We note that `filter` is tail-recursive, so an optimising compiler will optimise this to the same low-level code as might be written by a programmer-optimised interactive program. But the ML code is, I believe, cleaner (even for this simple example).

## Map

The other big list operation is 'apply a function `f` to every element of a list'.

```
- fun map _ [] = []  
  | map f (h::t) = f h::map f t;  
> val map = fn : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b list
```

E.g. to find the list of sizes of a list of strings:

```
> size;  
val it = fn : string -> int  
> map size; (* Nice bit of partial application, here *)  
val it = fn : string list -> int list  
> map size ["a","bc","def"];  
val it = [1,2,3] : int list
```

## Examples

I studied maths as an undergrad so for me, the obvious example of map is for **Taylor series** like these:

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots$$

The components of this sum are an instance of map applied to a function  $f$  such that  $n \mapsto x^n/n!$ .

If you are into gaming and understand GPU architecture, then you might recognise that stream processors are nothing more than a hardware optimised for applying map in parallel to a list or an array of data.

Other examples abound. ML is **very good** at expressing this kind of thing.

## Examples

Another example:

```
- fun powers (x:int) = (x,x*x,x*x*x);  
> val powers = fn : int -> int * int * int  
- map powers [1,2,3];  
> [(1,1,1),(2,4,8),(3,9,27)] : (int * int * int) list
```

Evaluation is:

```
map powers [1,2,3]  
==> powers 1::map powers [2,3]  
==> powers 1::powers 2::map powers [3]  
==> powers 1::powers 2::powers 3::map powers []  
==> powers 1::powers 2::powers 3::[]  
==> [(1,1,1),(2,4,8),(3,9,27)]
```

## List insert

Can we write a program `insert` that if given an integer `i1` and a list of integers ordered in ascending order, will insert `i1` into the list so the result is also a list of integers ordered in ascending order?

This is pretty much what you do when you put a library book in a shelf: you're given a list in order and an element and you want to put the element in 'the right place'.

Why not have a go at this yourself before reading the answer?

First, write an inductive specification; then convert it to ML code.

## List insert

Bet you just skipped to this slide without trying it yourself.

No really; you'll learn more if you have a go first.



## List insert

```
- fun insert(i:int) [] = [i]
  | insert i1 (i2::t) =
      if i1<i2 then i1::(i2,e2)::t
      else i2::insert i1 t;
> fn : int -> int list -> int list
- insert 7 [5,9];
> [5,7,9] :int list
(* insert 7 [5,9]
==> 5::insert 7 [9]
==> 5::7::[9]
==> [5,7,9] *)
```

# Sorting

We can now write an easy sorting algorithm:

```
- fun sort [] = []  
  | sort (h::t) = insert h (sort t);  
> fn : int list -> int list  
- sort [7,9,5];  
> [5,7,9] : int list
```

Isn't that beautiful, compact, and elegant code?

## foldr

Consider summing a list of integers:

```
- fun sum [] = 0
  | sum (h::t) = h+sum t;
> val sum = fn: int list -> int
- sum [1,2,3];
> 6 : int
sum [1,2,3]
==> 1+sum [2,3]
==> 1+(2+sum [3])
==> 1+(2+(3+sum []))
==> 1+(2+(3+0))
==> 1+2+3+0
```

Intuitively, `sum` inserts `+` between the list elements (and calculates the result).

## foldr

Consider joining a list of strings:

```
- fun join [] = ""
  | join (h::t) = h^join t;
> val join = fn: string list -> string
- string ["1","2","3"];
> "123" : int
sum ["1","2","3"]
==> "1"^join ["2","3"]
==> "1"^("2"^join ["3"])
==> "1"^("2"^("3"^join []))
==> "1"^("2"^("3"^""))
==> "1"^"2"^"3"^""
```

Intuitively, `join` inserts `^` between the list elements (and calculates the result).

## foldr

Suppose we have a list of functions in `'a -> 'a` that we want to compose:

```
- fun bigo [] = (fn x => x)
  | bigo (h::t) = h o (bigo t);
> val bigo = fn: ('a -> 'a) list -> ('a -> 'a)
bigo [h,g,f]
==> h o bigo [g,f]
==> h o (g o bigo [f])
==> h o (g o f o (bigo []))
==> h o (g o f o (fn x => x))
==> h o g o f
```

Intuitively, `bigo` inserts `o` between the list elements.

We have a schema here:

## foldr

- ▶ Base case: `[] ==>` base value `b`.
- ▶ Recursion case:  
`(h::t) ==>` apply `f` to `h` and result of folding `f` over

```
- fun foldr f acc [] = acc
  | foldr f acc (h::t) = f h (foldr f acc t);
> val foldr =
  fn: ('a->'b->'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b
```

I find this easiest to understand as follows:

```
foldr f acc [x,y,z] = f(x,f(y,f(z,acc)))
```

This is a surprisingly general recipe, because it captures the essence of iteration. This is a for-next loop. To be precise: `foldr` captures the essence of tail-recursion.

## foldr

```
sum = foldr (fn x => fn acc => x+acc) 0
join = foldr (fn x => fn acc => x^acc) ""
bigo = foldr (fn x => fn acc => x o acc) (fn x => x)
sort = foldr insert [] (* do "insert" between elements of list
```

Now sum and join are kind of trivial, and bigo isn't trivial but it's easy to underestimate it; but you should know that sorting is a canonical non-trivial program.

## foldl

Much like foldr but starts from the head of the list:

```
- fun foldl f acc [] = acc
  | foldl f acc (h::t) = foldl f (f h acc) t;
> val foldl =
  fn: ('a->'b->'b) -> 'b -> 'a list -> 'b
```

I understand it like this:

```
foldl f acc [x,y,z] = f(z,f(y,f(x,acc)))
foldr f acc [x,y,z] = f(x,f(y,f(z,acc)))  (* for comparison *)
```

foldl is natural because “we read the list from left-to-right and start computing on the x first”.

Clearly, foldl is equal to

```
fn f => fn acc => fn l => foldr f acc (rev l).
```



## fold

Oh yes, and `rev` can, of course, be implemented using `foldl` and `foldr`:

```
foldr (fn x => fn acc => acc@[x]) []  
foldl (fn x => fn acc => x::acc) []
```

## Higher-order sort

Recall sorting using foldr:

```
sort [3,2,1]
==> foldr insert [] [3,2,1]
==> insert 3 (foldr insert [] [2,1])
==> insert 3 (insert 2 (foldr insert [] [1]))
==> insert 3 (insert 2 (insert 1 (foldr insert [] [])))
==> insert 3 (insert 2 (insert 1 []))
==> [1,2,3]
```

This invites generalisation.

## Higher-order insert

Generalise insert to work with list of arbitrary type:

```
- fun gInsert p v [] = [v]
  | gInsert p v (h::t) =
    if p v h
    then v::h::t
    else h::gInsert p v t
> val gInsert = fn : ('a ->'a->bool)->
                  'a -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

- ▶ If  $p$  holds between  $v$  and  $h$  then put  $v$  on front of list.
- ▶ Otherwise put  $h$  on front of inserting  $v$  into  $t$  with  $p$ .

## Higher-order sort

Our previous sort can be implemented as

```
val sort = gInsert (fn x => fn y => x<y).
```

A generalised sorting algorithm is just

```
val gSort = fn p => foldr (gInsert p).
```

Unpacking the fold explicitly:

```
- fun gSort p [] = []  
  | gSort p (h::t) = gInsert p h (gSort p t);  
> val gSort = fn : ('a -> 'a -> bool) ->  
              'a list -> 'a list
```

In OO programming you'd probably recognise a generalised sorting algorithm over objects with 'compare' and 'insert' methods. Where do you think these ideas come from?