

# Non-commutative Stone duality

Mark V Lawson, HWU  
Newcastle, June 2024

## 1. Classical/Commutative Stone duality

A topological space  $X$  is called a *Boolean space* if it is compact, Hausdorff and 0-dimensional (that is, it has a base of clopen sets).

**Theorem: Classical/Commutative Stone duality. Stone.**

1. *With each Boolean algebra  $B$ , we can associate a Boolean space  $X(B)$ , called the Stone space of  $B$ .*
2. *With each Boolean space  $X$ , we can associate a Boolean algebra,  $B(X)$ , of clopen subsets.*
3.  *$B \cong B(X(B))$  for each Boolean algebra  $B$ .*
4.  *$X \cong X(B(X))$  for each Boolean space  $X$ .*

## 2. Ideas behind non-commutative Stone duality

1. Replace the Boolean algebra by some kind of semigroup which has a Boolean character equipped with an order.
2. Replace the topological space by a (1-sorted) topological (small) category. We assume all maps are continuous, the space of identities is open, and the multiplication map is open.

### 3. Non-commutative Stone duality: Boolean inverse monoids

This is the version of non-commutative Stone duality of most interest to those working in operator algebras.

An *inverse monoid* is a monoid in which for each element  $s$  there is a unique element  $t$  such that  $s = sts$  and  $t = tst$ . We usually denote  $t$  by  $s^{-1}$  and refer to the *inverse* of  $s$ .

Inverse monoids are ordered when we define  $s \leq t$  iff  $s = ts^{-1}s$ . This is called the *natural partial order*.

An inverse monoid is said to be *Boolean* if it satisfies three conditions:

1. The idempotents form a Boolean algebra wrt the natural partial order.
2. If  $st^{-1}t = ts^{-1}s$  and  $ss^{-1}t = tt^{-1}s$  then  $s \vee t$  exists.
3. If  $s \vee t$  exists then  $u(s \vee t) = us \vee ut$  and  $(s \vee t)u = su \vee tu$  for any  $u \in S$ .

A topological groupoid is said to be *étale* if domain and range maps are local homeomorphisms and the space of identities is open.

A *Boolean groupoid* is an étale groupoid whose space of identities is a Boolean space.

**Theorem: Non-commutative Stone duality I. Lawson and Lenz.**

1. *With each Boolean inverse monoid  $S$ , we can associate a Boolean groupoid  $G(S)$ , called the Stone groupoid of  $S$ .*
2. *With each Boolean groupoid  $G$ , we can associate a Boolean inverse monoid,  $\text{KB}(G)$ , of compact-open local bisections.*
3.  *$S \cong \text{KB}(G(S))$  for each Boolean inverse monoid  $S$ .*
4.  *$G \cong G(\text{KB}(G))$  for each Boolean groupoid  $G$ .*

#### 4. Non-commutative Stone duality: Boolean bi-restriction monoids

We now replace groupoids by categories. We say that a category is *étale* if its domain and range maps are both local homeomorphisms and the space of identities is open. A category is said to be *Boolean* if it is étale and the space of identities is a Boolean space.

We replace inverse monoids by bi-restriction monoids (see next slide). One approach to understanding these semigroups is that they are defined by axiomatizing the behaviour of the idempotents  $s^{-1}s$  and  $ss^{-1}$  in an inverse semigroup.

We define a monoid  $S$  to be a *right restriction monoid* if it is equipped with a unary operation  $a \mapsto a^*$  satisfying the following axioms:

$$(RR1) \quad (s^*)^* = s^*.$$

$$(RR2) \quad (s^*t^*)^* = s^*t^*.$$

$$(RR3) \quad s^*t^* = t^*s^*.$$

$$(RR4) \quad ss^* = s.$$

$$(RR5) \quad (st)^* = (s^*t)^*.$$

$$(RR6) \quad t^*s = s(ts)^*.$$

Those elements  $a$  such that  $a^* = a$  are called *projections*. *The element  $a^*$  in fact axiomatizes the domain of definition of a partial function.*

We define a *left restriction monoid*, dually, and use  $a \mapsto a^+$  for the unary operation.

A *bi-restriction monoid* is a monoid which is both a left and right restriction monoid and the sets of projections are the same.

Let  $S$  be a bi-restriction monoid. Define

$$y \leq x \text{ iff } y = xy^* \text{ equivalently } y = y^+x.$$

This is a partial order with respect to which the monoid is partially ordered. This is called the *natural partial order*.

A bi-restriction monoid is said to be *Boolean* if it satisfies three conditions:

1. The idempotents form a Boolean algebra wrt the natural partial order.
2. If  $st^* = ts^*$  and  $s^+t = t^+s$  then  $s \vee t$  exists.
3. If  $s \vee t$  exists then  $u(s \vee t) = us \vee ut$  and  $(s \vee t)u = su \vee tu$  for any  $u \in S$ .



**Theorem: Non-commutative Stone duality II. Kudryavtseva and Lawson.**

1. *With each Boolean bi-restriction monoid  $S$ , we can associate a Boolean category  $C(S)$ , called the Stone category of  $S$ .*
2. *With each Boolean category  $C$ , we can associate a Boolean bi-restriction monoid,  $KB(C)$ , of compact-open local bisections.*
3.  *$S \cong KB(C(S))$  for each Boolean bi-restriction monoid  $S$ .*
4.  *$C \cong C(KB(G))$  for each Boolean category  $C$ .*

## 5. Non-commutative Stone duality: Boolean right restriction monoids

We now replace étale categories by *domain-étale* categories where we only require the domain map to be a local homeomorphism.

A *Boolean domain-étale category* is a domain-étale category whose space of identities is a Boolean space.

Let  $S$  be a right restriction monoid. Define

$$y \leq x \text{ iff } y = xy^*.$$

This is a partial order with respect to which the monoid is partially ordered. This is called the *natural partial order*.

A right restriction is said to be *Boolean* if it satisfies three conditions:

1. The idempotents form a Boolean algebra wrt the natural partial order.
2. If  $st^* = ts^*$  then  $s \vee t$  exists.
3. If  $s \vee t$  exists then  $u(s \vee t) = us \vee ut$  and  $(s \vee t)u = su \vee tu$  for all  $u \in S$ .

**Theorem: Non-commutative Stone duality III. Cockett and Garner.**

1. *With each Boolean right restriction monoid  $S$ , we can associate a Boolean domain-etale category  $C(S)$ , called the Stone category of  $S$ .*
2. *With each Boolean domain-etale category  $C$ , we can associate a Boolean right restriction monoid,  $KS(C)$ , of compact-open local sections.*
3.  *$S \cong KS(C(S))$  for each Boolean right restriction monoid  $S$ .*
4.  *$C \cong C(KS(C))$  for each Boolean domain-etale category  $C$ .*

## 6. In conclusion . . .

- Garner showed that the Boolean right restriction monoids are intimately connected with those varieties (in the sense of universal algebra) which are Cartesian closed.
- The work of Cockett and Garner suggests that we may generalize non-commutative Stone duality further, perhaps by using some ideas of Resende.

## References

1. R. Cockett, R. Garner, Generalising the étale groupoid-complete pseudogroup correspondence, *Adv. Math.* **392** (2021), 108030.
2. R. Garner, Cartesian closed varieties I: the classification theorem, arXiv:2302.04402.
3. R. Garner, Cartesian closed varieties II: links to operator algebra, arXiv:2302.04403.
4. P. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, Dover, 2018.
5. G. Kudryavtseva, M. V. Lawson, Perspectives on non-commutative frame theory, *Adv. Math.* **311** (2017), 378–468.
6. M. V. Lawson, A non-commutative generalization of Stone duality, *J. Aust. Math. Soc* **88** (2010), 385–404.
7. M. V. Lawson, Non-commutative Stone duality, arXiv:2207.02686.
8. M. V. Lawson, D. H. Lenz, Pseudogroups and their étale groupoids, *Adv. Math.* **244** (2013), 117–170.
9. P. Resende, Etale groupoids and their quantales, *Adv. Math.* **208** (2007) 147–209,
10. M. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (1937), 375–481.