

The effect of variation in illuminant direction on texture classification

Michael J Chantler

Thesis submitted
for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Computing and Electrical Engineering
Heriot-Watt University



August 1994

This copy of the thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it is understood to recognise that the copyright rests with its author and that no quotation from the thesis and no information derived from it may be published without prior written consent of the author or the University (as may be appropriate).

Table of contents

Table of contents.....	i
List of figures.....	iv
List of tables.....	vii
Acknowledgements.....	viii
Abstract.....	ix
Principal symbols and abbreviations	x
 Chapter 1 Introduction	 1
1.1. Motivation.....	1
1.2. Scope of the research	2
1.3. Thesis organisation.....	3
1.4. Original work	4
 Chapter 2 Image models of topological texture	 6
2.1. Review	7
2.1.1. Texture synthesis	7
2.1.2. Texture analysis - segmentation and classification	9
2.1.3. Texture analysis - shape from texture.....	10
2.1.4. Scattering theory	14
2.1.5. Summary.....	15
2.2. An image model of topological texture.....	16
2.2.1. A fractal based image model	16
2.2.2. Implications for texture analysis.....	22
2.3. Conclusions	25
 Chapter 3 An investigation into an image model of topological texture	 27
3.1. The response of image texture to changes in surface relief.....	28
3.1.1. Image generation.....	29
3.1.2. The power roll-off factor	30
3.1.3. Large slope angles	33
3.1.4. Shadowing.....	37
3.1.5. Summary of surface response results	39
3.2. The tilt angle response of image texture	40
3.2.1. Low slope angles.....	41
3.2.2. Large slope angles	43
3.2.3. Shadowing.....	44
3.2.4. Four physical textures	45

3.2.5. Summary of tilt response investigation	55
3.3. The slant angle response of image texture	56
3.3.1. Low slope angles.....	57
3.3.2. Large slope angles and shadowing	58
3.3.3. Experimental results : slant response.....	60
3.3.4. Radial shape - slant angle response	63
3.3.5. Summary of slant response investigation	64
3.4. Conclusions	64
3.4.1. Implications for texture classification	66
 Chapter 4 Texture features : review and selection	 67
4.1. Definition of segmentation, classification and feature measure.	68
4.2. Surveys	69
4.3. Model-based features	70
4.3.1. Fractal models.....	70
4.3.2. Autoregressive models.....	73
4.3.3. Fractional differencing models	75
4.3.4. Markov random fields.....	76
4.4. Non-model-based features.....	78
4.4.1. Grey-level co-occurrence and other related features.....	78
4.4.2. Laws' texture energy filters.....	80
4.4.3. Frequency domain methods	81
4.4.4. Gabor filters	82
4.5. Comparative studies.....	82
4.5.1. A league table of feature measures	84
4.6. Rotation invariance.....	84
4.6.1. Omnidirectional feature measures	85
4.6.2. Rotation invariant directional feature measures	86
4.7. Conclusion.....	86
 Chapter 5 Texture features and illumination	 88
5.1. Laws' masks.....	88
5.1.1. Frequency response.....	90
5.1.2. Tilt angle response	94
5.1.3. Slant angle response.....	102
5.1.4. Summary	104
5.2. Co-occurrence matrices.....	105
5.2.1. An alternative formulation.....	106
5.2.2. Frequency response.....	109

5.2.3. Tilt angle response	112
5.2.4. Slant angle response.....	115
5.2.5. Summary	116
5.3. Linnett's operator.....	116
5.3.1. Frequency response.....	118
5.3.2. Tilt angle response	121
5.3.3. Slant angle response.....	125
5.3.4. Summary - Linnett's operator	126
5.4. Metrics for class separation and sensitivity to illuminant variation.....	127
5.5. Conclusions	130
 Chapter 6 Classification	 132
6.1. Supervised statistical classification	133
6.1.1. Discriminant theory	134
6.1.2. Supervised classification of test textures.....	135
6.2. The effect of illuminant variation on classification.....	137
6.2.1. Discrimination between illumination conditions.....	137
6.2.2. Slant response	138
6.2.3. Tilt response.....	141
6.2.4. Summary of illuminant variation investigation	146
6.3. Compensation for illuminant tilt variation.....	146
6.4. Frequency domain tilt-compensation.....	148
6.4.1. An improved frequency domain model	150
6.4.2. Filter implementation.....	154
6.4.3. Effect of tilt-compensation on features	155
6.4.4. Effect of tilt-compensation on classification.....	157
6.5. Conclusions	163
 Chapter 7 Summary and conclusions	 165
7.1. Summary.....	165
7.2. Conclusions	168
 Appendix A.....	 169
 References.....	 172
Abbreviations for references.....	178

List of figures

Figure 1.1 - Processes in texture classification.....	2
Figure 2.1- Definition of axes and illumination angles	18
Figure 2.2 - The (x,y,z) and (x',y',z) axes.....	18
Figure 2.3 - Error due to linear approximation.....	19
Figure 2.4 -Predicted effect of variation in illuminant direction on radial shape of the magnitude spectrum.....	24
Figure 3.1 - Simulation process showing the major parameters	29
Figure 3.2 - Height-maps of the surfaces, and their corresponding intensity images	31
Figure 3.3 - Average radial sections of surface magnitude spectra	31
Figure 3.4- Average radial sections of intensity magnitude spectra	32
Figure 3.5 - β_I vs. β_H of surfaces S1 - S5.	32
Figure 3.6 - Sections through four surfaces with height scaling factors $S = 1, 4, 20$ and 100	34
Figure 3.7 - Magnitude spectra of intensity images showing the effect of increasing surface variance.	35
Figure 3.8 - The effect of increasing surface amplitude on the intensity predicted by Lambertian and linear illumination models.....	36
Figure 3.9- The β relationship at height scaling factors $S = 1, 10$, and 100	36
Figure 3.10 - Intensity images (with shadowing) of surfaces of varying height scaling factors	37
Figure 3.11 - Magnitude spectra of intensity images (with shadowing) for increasing surface variance	38
Figure 3.12 - Effect of shadowing, at different surface powers, on the β relationship.	39
Figure 3.13- Polar frequency plot of image texture ($\tau = 0^\circ$), and corresponding best fit cosine.....	41
Figure 3.14 - Intensity images showing variation with tilt angle (τ)	42
Figure 3.15 - Polar frequency plots of image texture showing the effect of variation in illuminant tilt	42
Figure 3.16- The effect of increasing average slope angles on the polar plots of magnitude spectra	43
Figure 3.17- Magnitude vs. angle of frequency components of shadowed images for various height scaling factors.	45
Figure 3.18 - The test textures.....	46
Figure 3.19 - Experimental set-up	47
Figure 3.20 - Directional artefacts of the raw FFT process	49
Figure 3.21 - Effect of a circular Hann window	49
Figure 3.22 - Spatial averaging of magnitude spectra	50
Figure 3.23 - Images of <i>rock1</i> captured at two different illuminant tilt angles.....	51
Figure 3.24 - Effect of illuminant tilt angle on image directionality (<i>rock1</i>).....	52
Figure 3.25 - $\cos(\theta - \tau)$ relationship for <i>rock1</i> , and best fit straight line.....	52
Figure 3.26 - Polar plots, and best fit cosines, of the textures <i>beans1</i> , <i>chips1</i> , and <i>stones1</i> ($\tau = 0^\circ$).	53

Figure 3.27 - Effect of tilt on radial shape of magnitude spectra.....	55
Figure 3.28 - Samples of intensity images — showing the effect of changing σ	57
Figure 3.29- Frequency spectra of surface height map and intensity images for $\sigma= 10^\circ$ to 80°	57
Figure 3.30 - Slant angle (σ) response showing the $\sin\sigma$ relationship (for $\sigma=10^\circ, 20^\circ \dots 80^\circ$).....	58
Figure 3.31 - Effect of power on slant angle response	59
Figure 3.32 - Intensity images showing variation due to change of slant angle for a scale $S=4$	59
Figure 3.33- Effect of shadowing at various powers on the slant angle response.....	60
Figure 3.34 - Intensity images of <i>rock1</i> showing variation with slant angle	61
Figure 3.35 - <i>rock1</i> : slant angle response ($\sigma = 10^\circ, 30^\circ, 50^\circ$ & 80°).....	61
Figure 3.36 - Magnitude estimates vs. $\sin(\sigma)$	62
Figure 3.37 - Radial shape : slant angle (σ) response.....	63
 Figure 4.1 - Markov random field neighbourhoods.....	 77
 Figure 5.1 - Four of Laws most successful masks	 89
Figure 5.2 - Laws' one-dimensional operators : observed and theoretical frequency responses	91
Figure 5.3 - Laws' operators : empirical two-dimensional frequency responses	93
Figure 5.4 - Predicted effect of tilt angle variation on L5E5 output	96
Figure 5.5 - Observed effect of tilt angle variation on L5E5 output.....	97
Figure 5.6 - Effect of tilt angle variation on L5E5 output (ABSARE macro statistic).....	98
Figure 5.7 - Effect of tilt variation on L5E5 distributions	98
Figure 5.8 - Tilt response of the bi-directional operators E5S5 and E5E5	99
Figure 5.9 - The tilt response of E5E5 for the directional texture <i>card45</i>	100
Figure 5.10 - The effect of normalisation on L5E5 tilt angle response	101
Figure 5.11 - Effect of normalisation on the L5E5 output distribution for tilts of 0° and 90°	102
Figure 5.12 - Un-normalised slant angle response of Laws' L5E5 operator	103
Figure 5.13 - Normalised response of Laws' L5E5 operator	104
Figure 5.14 - CON operator : effect of changing the size of the displacement vector	110
Figure 5.15 - One-dimensional frequency responses of co-occurrence features.....	110
Figure 5.16 - Two-dimensional frequency responses of the contrast and correlation features	111
Figure 5.17 - Tilt angle response of co-occurrence features.....	113
Figure 5.18 - The effect of normalisation on co-occurrence features	114
Figure 5.19 - The effect of image normalisation on the ASM slant response.....	115
Figure 5.20 - The seven directional masks for Linnett's operator.....	117
Figure 5.21 - Sections from example surfaces of the blanket method	117
Figure 5.22 - Observed and theoretical one-dimensional frequency responses of Linnett's operator	119
Figure 5.23 - Linnett's operator : observed two-dimensional frequency responses	120
Figure 5.24 - Linnett's operator (un-normalised) tilt response.....	122
Figure 5.25 - The effect of normalisation on mask m1's tilt response	123

Figure 5.26 - Normalised 128x128 samples of <i>card1</i> at illuminant tilt angles of 0° and 90°	124
Figure 5.27 - Radial sections of magnitude spectra (at $\theta = 0^\circ$) of <i>card1</i> and operator <i>m1</i>	125
Figure 5.28 - The effect of normalisation on mask <i>m6</i>	126
Figure 6.1 - Supervised statistical classification of image texture.....	136
Figure 6.2 - Classification of the four texture image <i>montage1</i>	136
Figure 6.3 - Classification of <i>montage2</i> : two physical textures imaged under two illumination conditions.....	138
Figure 6.4 - The effect of illuminant slant variation on classifier <i>Laws1</i>	139
Figure 6.5 - An example of increased failure rate due to variation in illuminant slant	139
Figure 6.6 - The effect of normalisation on the slant response of the <i>Laws1</i> classifier	140
Figure 6.7 - The effect of tilt variation on the classifier <i>Laws1</i> (data set : <i>montage1</i>).....	142
Figure 6.8 - Classification failure at $\tau = 90^\circ$ for the <i>Laws1</i> classifier (data set : <i>montage1</i>)	142
Figure 6.9 - The effect of illuminant tilt variation on " <i>cooc1</i> " and " <i>frac1</i> " classifiers	143
Figure 6.10 - The effect of normalisation on the previous classification problem	144
Figure 6.11 - Classification at $t = 90^\circ$ (<i>Laws1</i> classifier, normalised <i>montage1</i>)	144
Figure 6.12 - The effect of tilt variation on the <i>Laws1</i> classifier using normalised images	144
Figure 6.13 - The effects of image normalisation on <i>cooc1</i> and <i>frac1</i> classifiers (data set : <i>montage1</i>)	145
Figure 6.14 - The use of a tilt-compensating filter in the texture classification process.....	149
Figure 6.15 - Magnitude frequency response of $F1(\tau = 0^\circ)$, and its effect on a checkerboard image.....	150
Figure 6.16 - Polar frequency characteristics of <i>rock1</i> texture ($\tau = 0^\circ$).....	151
Figure 6.17 - Plot illustrating the $m_\tau \cos(\theta - \tau) + b$ relationship for $\omega = 0.05$ and 0.20	152
Figure 6.18 - Variation of m_τ with frequency	152
Figure 6.19 - Variation of b_τ with frequency	153
Figure 6.20 - Frequency domain filtering.....	154
Figure 6.21 - The effect of filter $F2(\tau = 0^\circ)$ on samples of texture <i>rock1</i>	155
Figure 6.22 - The effect the $F2$ filters on tilt behaviour of Laws' L5E5 feature	156
Figure 6.23 - The effect of tilt-compensation on the <i>Laws1</i> classifier.....	158
Figure 6.24 - The effect of tilt-compensation on the classification at $\tau = 90^\circ$	159
Figure 6.25 - Classification error rates of tilt-compensated <i>cooc1</i> and <i>frac1</i> classifiers	160
Figure 6.26 - Reduced classification error at $\tau = 90^\circ$ (<i>frac1</i> classifier)	160
Figure 6.27 - TEC for <i>Laws1</i> classifier using original, normalised and tilt-compensated images.	161
Figure 6.28 - TEC for co-occurrence and Linnett's classifiers using uncompensated, normalised, and tilt-compensated images.....	161
Figure 6.29 - Mean error rates for directional and isotropic texture classes	162

List of tables

Table 3.1. Slope angles and height variances, for surfaces with a range of height scaling factors	34
Table 3.2. Best fit raised cosine parameters	53
Table 4.1 - Comparative studies of texture features	84
Table 5.1 - Tilt sensitivity and class separation of Laws', Linnett's, and co-occurrence features.	129
Table 5.2 - The effect of illuminant tilt on directional and isotropic feature measures	131
Table 6.1 - Classification errors for figure 6.3	138
Table 6.2 - Definition of feature sets.....	139
Table 6.3 - Tilt sensitivity and class separation of Laws features pre-filtered with $F1$ and $F2$ filters.	157

Acknowledgements

I would especially like to thank my supervisor, Professor George Russell, for his support, ideas, encouragement, and stimulating discussion.

Special thanks are also due to Laurie Linnett for his ideas, time, enthusiasm, and for the software he selflessly provided.

I would like to thank all the other members of the department for their help, particularly Stuart Clarke, Andy Wallace, Keith Brown, Alastair Houstin, Matt Dunnigan, Mary Kiernan, Ceri Reid, Andrew Quinn, Phil Knightbridge, David Lindsay, and many others who have helped me in my work.

Lastly, I would like to say that I am especially indebted to my wife Linda for all her support and backing.

Abstract

Texture analysis has been an extremely active and fruitful area of research over the past twenty years. Many advances have been made, but the effect of variation in lighting conditions on automated texture classification and segmentation has received little attention. This thesis shows that the direction of the illuminant is an important factor that should be taken into account when analysing images of three-dimensional texture.

A frequency domain model is presented which predicts that both the directional characteristics and the variance of images of three-dimensional texture can be affected by changes in illuminant vector. Results of simulations and laboratory experiments support these predictions.

The responses of three sets of texture measures are analysed using a test set of isotropic and directional textures. The results show that the feature measures' outputs are affected by changes in illuminant direction. These changes are also shown to significantly increase the error rates of statistical classifiers implemented using the three feature sets. Normalisation of images is shown to reduce the error rates in some cases.

The frequency domain model of image texture is further developed using empirical data and the resulting model used to design a set of tilt-compensation filters. These filters are used to pre-process images to reduce the effects of changes in the angle of tilt of the illuminant. Application of the filters to the test image set reduced the classification errors associated with directional textures.

Principal symbols and abbreviations

Symbol	Meaning	Section first introduced
σ_s	angle of slant of the surface	2.1.3
τ_s	angle of tilt of the surface	2.1.3
$\mathcal{F}[g(x,y)]$	the Fourier transform of the function $g(x,y)$	2.2.1
σ	angle of slant of the illuminant vector $\mathbf{L}$	2.2.1
τ	angle of tilt of the illuminant vector $\mathbf{L}$	2.2.1
$\mathbf{L}$	unit vector pointing at the light source	2.2.1
$\mathbf{n}$	unit vector normal to the surface	2.2.1
$I(x,y)$	normalised intensity image	2.2.1
$F_I(\omega,\theta)$	Fourier transform of the normalised intensity image	2.2.1
$V_H(x,y)$	surface height map	2.2.1
$F_H(\omega,\theta)$	Fourier transform of the surface height map	2.2.1
$F_s(\omega,\theta)$	surface response component of $F_H(\omega,\theta)$	2.2.1
$F_\tau(\omega,\theta)$	tilt response component of $F_H(\omega,\theta)$	2.2.1
$F_\sigma(\omega,\theta)$	surface response component of $F_H(\omega,\theta)$	2.2.1
ω	angular frequency (polar co-ordinates)	2.2.1
θ	angle of direction w.r.t. the x -axis (polar co-ordinates)	2.2.1
β_H	surface power roll-off factor	2.2.1
β_I	image power roll-off factor	2.2.1
S	height scaling factor	3.1.1
s_H^2	surface height variance	3.1.3
s_H	surface height deviation	3.1.3
$\hat{\alpha}$	average estimated slope angle	3.1.3
b_τ	y -intercept parameter of the raised cosine model of the tilt response component $F_\tau(\omega,\theta)$	3.3.4(c)
m_τ	slope parameter of the raised cosine model of the tilt response component $F_\tau(\omega,\theta)$	3.3.4(c)
ω_1	angular frequency in the x direction	5.1
ω_2	angular frequency in the y direction	5.1
$H(\omega_1, \omega_2)$	transfer function	5.1
$P(i,j)$	un-normalised co-occurrence matrix	5.2
$p(i,j)$	normalised co-occurrence matrix	5.2
$\mathbf{d}$	co-occurrence matrix displacement vector	5.2
D^2	Mahalanobis distance	5.4
$\bar{D}_\tau^2$	mean tilt sensitivity	5.4
ω_s	sampling frequency	6.4.1

Abbreviation	Meaning	Section first introduced
ARMA	autoregressive moving average (model)	4.3.3
<i>ASM</i>	angular second moment (co-occurrence feature)	5.2
<i>CON</i>	contrast (co-occurrence feature)	5.2
<i>cooc1</i>	feature set/classifier based on co-occurrence features	6.2.2
<i>COR</i>	correlation (co-occurrence feature)	5.2
<i>ENT</i>	entropy (co-occurrence feature)	5.2
FBM	fractional Brownian motion	2.1.3
<i>frac1</i>	feature set/classifier based on Linnet's features	6.2.2
GLCM	grey-level co-occurrence matrix	4.4.1
<i>laws1</i>	feature set/classifier based on Laws' features	6.2.2
MRF	Markov random field	2.1.1
PCA	principal components analysis	4.3.1
PSD	power spectral density	2.2.1
rms	root mean square	2.1.4.
ROV	remotely operated vehicle	1.1
TEC	total error of classification	6.1.1