

Rigorous Methods for Software Engineering (F21RS-F20RS) Flow Analysis - How It Works

Andrew Ireland
Department of Computer Science
School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences
Heriot-Watt University
Edinburgh

Overview

- ▶ Begin to explore the levels of program analysis supported by the SPARK tool-set.
- ▶ Specifically consider those aspects that under-pin the flow analysis capabilities of the SPARK tool-set.
- ▶ Understanding the underling theory of flow analysis will assist you with tasks T2 and T4 of Coursework 1.

Examiner's Levels of Analysis

- Data flow analysis:** checks the correct usage of parameters and global variables with respect to their modes; checks that variables are not read before they are written; checks for ineffective code (automatic).
- Information flow analysis:** checks for consistency between code and contract (automatic).
- Formal verification:** uses logical assertions, represented via a contract, in conjunction with the code to generate verification conditions (i.e. logical conjectures). The SPARK tool-set contains a theorem proving capability which can automate the proof of a significant percentage of verification conditions. But in general, formal verification is semi-automatic.

Note that the levels should be seen as progressively more sophisticated.

Fundamentals of Flow Analysis

- ▶ A purely symbolic form of analysis, *i.e.* no specific data values are considered.
- ▶ Based upon a number of relationships between variables and expressions.

Statements, Variables & Expressions

- ▶ For a statement S :
 - ▶ V denotes the set of variables
 - ▶ E denotes the set of expressions
- ▶ Note that a statement may be an atomic statement or a compound statement, e.g. sequences, conditionals, ...
- ▶ Example:

$$X := Y * Z; W := X + 2;$$

within the above statement sequence, $V = \{W, X, Y, Z\}$
and $E = \{Y * Z, X + 2\}$.

Classifying Variables

A variable is **defined** when it appears on the LHS of an assignment, otherwise it is **preserved**. This gives rise to 2 sets:

- ▶ D the set of variables that S may **define**.
- ▶ P the set of variables that S may **preserve**.

Note the use of “may” – if S is conditional then not all paths may traverse all assignments.

Examples

- ▶ Given the statement sequence:

$$X := Y * Z; W := X + 2;$$

we get $D = \{X, W\}$ and $P = \{Y, Z\}$

- ▶ Given the conditional statement:

$$\text{if } X > Y \text{ then } X := X + Y; \text{ else } Y := Y + X;$$

we get $D = \{X, Y\}$ and $P = \{X, Y\}$

Note that the intersection of D and P may be non-empty when conditional statements are involved.

Some Dependency Relations

$L(u, e)$ is true if the initial value of variable u may be used in computing the value of expression e .

$M(e, v)$ is true if e may be used in computing the final value of variable v .

$R(u, v)$ is true if the initial value of u may be used in computing the final value of variable v .

Note: the phrase “**may be used in computing**” relates to values of variables that occur within conditional expressions (if-then, while, etc) as well as assignments (rhs).

Example Revisited

- ▶ Given:

$$X := Y * Z; W := X + 2;$$

where $V = \{W, X, Y, Z\}$ and $E = \underbrace{\{Y * Z\}}_{e_1}, \underbrace{\{X + 2\}}_{e_2}$

- ▶ Relations L , M and R are defined:

$$L_{true} = \{(y, e_1), (z, e_1), (y, e_2), (z, e_2)\}$$

$$M_{true} = \{(e_1, x), (e_1, w), (e_2, w)\}$$

$$R_{true} = \{(y, w), (z, w), (y, x), (z, x), (y, y), (z, z)\}$$

Note that $L(x, e_2)$ is false because the initial value of X is overwritten before it is used in computing e_2 .

Relations As Binary Matrices

$$L_{true} = \{(y, e_1), (z, e_1), (y, e_2), (z, e_2)\}$$

$$M_{true} = \{(e_1, x), (e_1, w), (e_2, w)\}$$

$$R_{true} = \{(y, w), (z, w), (y, x), (z, x), (y, y), (z, z)\}$$

$$L = \begin{matrix} & e_1 & e_2 \\ w & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ x & \\ y & \\ z & \end{matrix} M = \begin{matrix} & w & x & y & z \\ e_1 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ e_2 & \end{matrix} R = \begin{matrix} & w & x & y & z \\ w & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ x & \\ y & \\ z & \end{matrix}$$

$L_{ij} = 1$, if $L(v_i, e_j)$ is true, otherwise false

$M_{ij} = 1$, if $M(e_i, v_j)$ is true, otherwise false

$R_{ij} = 1$, if $R(v_i, v_j)$ is true, otherwise false

Diagonal Matrices: Defined & Preserved

- ▶ The defined and preserved relations can also be represented as matrices:

$$D = \begin{array}{c} \\ w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} w & x & y & z \\ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \quad P = \begin{array}{c} \\ w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} w & x & y & z \\ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Note that a 1 along the diagonal of the D matrix denotes a variable that is defined, while a 1 along the diagonal of the P matrix denotes a variable that is preserved.

An Important Relation

- ▶ A variable u may be used in computing the value of a variable v in two ways:
 1. u may be used in an expression that in turn is used by v OR
 2. u and v may be the same variable, and the variable is a member of the set P .
- ▶ Symbolically this can be expressed by:

$$R = LM \text{ or } P$$

Note that the product of binary matrices is analogous to matrix multiplication where multiplication is replaced by **and** and addition is replaced by **or**, e.g. If N is the product of L and M (with components L_{ij} and M_{jk}) then:

$$N_{ik} = (L_{i1} \text{ and } M_{1k}) \text{ or } (L_{i2} \text{ and } M_{2k}) \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } (L_{in} \text{ and } M_{nk})$$

Sequences Of Statements

- ▶ Consider a statement S_1 and associated relations D_1, P_1, L_1, M_1, R_1 , and a statement S_2 and associated relations D_2, P_2, L_2, M_2, R_2 .
- ▶ Now for the composition statement $S_1; S_2$, the associated relations are defined as follows:

$$D = D_1 \text{ or } D_2$$

$$P = P_1 \text{ and } P_2$$

$$L = L_1 \text{ or } R_1 L_2$$

$$M = M_1 R_2 \text{ or } M_2$$

$$R = R_1 R_2$$

Switch Revisited

```
procedure Int_Switch(X, Y: in out Integer)
with
  Depends => (X => Y, Y => X);
end Int_Switch;
```

```
procedure Int_Switch(X, Y: in out Integer)
is
  T: Integer;
begin
  T:=X; X:=Y; Y:=T;
end Int_Switch;
```

where $V = \{X, Y, T\}$, $E = \{X, Y, T\}$ and the relations L , M , R for the whole procedure are:

$$L = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & T \\ \begin{matrix} X \\ Y \\ T \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} M = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & T \\ \begin{matrix} X \\ Y \\ T \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} R = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & T \\ \begin{matrix} X \\ Y \\ T \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

Some Of The Examiner's Rules

- Rule 1:** Within matrix M every expression (row) must have a value of 1 for at least one exported variable (column).
- Rule 2:** Within matrix R every imported variable (row) must have a 1 against at least one exported variable (column).
- Rule 3:** The sub-matrix of R corresponding to the imported and exported variables must be consistent with the Depends aspect of the contract.

Note that matrix L provides a basis for detecting the use of undefined variables.

A Buggy Version of Switch

```
procedure Int_Switch(X, Y: in out Integer)
with
  Depends => (X => Y, Y => X);
end Int_Switch;
```

```
procedure Int_Switch(X, Y: in out Integer)
is
  T: Integer;
begin
  T:=X; X:=Y; Y:=X;
end Int_Switch;
```

$$L = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & X \\ X & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ Y & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ T & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} M = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & T \\ X & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ Y & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ X & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} R = \begin{matrix} & X & Y & T \\ X & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ Y & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ T & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

Ineffective Statements

$$M = \begin{array}{c} X \\ Y \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} X & Y & T \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Within M the row for expression 1 (X) has 0 against all the exported variables (X and Y) – this violates **Rule 1**.
- ▶ This means the statement containing the expression associated with the first row of M is ineffective, *i.e.* `T := X` has no effect on the computation defined by `Int_Switch`.
- ▶ The GNATprove generated message:

```
switch.adb: ... warning: variable "T" is assigned but  
never read  
switch.adb: ... warning: possibly useless assignment  
to "T", value might not be referenced  
switch.adb: ... warning: unused assignment
```

Ineffective Importation

$$R = \begin{array}{c} X \\ Y \\ T \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} X & Y & T \\ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

- ▶ Within R the row for variable X has 0 against all the exported variables – this violates **Rule 2**.
- ▶ This means that the imported value of X does not contribute to the final value of any of the exported variables.
- ▶ The GNATprove generated message:

```
switch.ads: ... medium: missing dependency "null => X"  
switch.ads: ... medium: missing self-dependency "Y => Y"
```

Note that `null => X` specifies that the initial value of X has no effect on the execution of the procedure.

Inconsistency between Code and Contract

$$R' = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} X & Y \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} X \\ Y \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & - \\ 1 & 1 & - \\ - & - & - \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

where R' is the sub-matrix of R corresponding to the imported and exported variables.

- ▶ Note that the Depends aspect states that the final value of Y depends upon the initial value of X , but R' indicates no such relation holds – violates **Rule 3**.
- ▶ The GNATprove generated message:
`switch.ads: ... medium: incorrect dependency "Y => X"`

Summary

Learning outcomes:

- ▶ Gain insight into how flow analysis can be implemented.
- ▶ Construction of dependency relations for simple program statements.
- ▶ Expressing and manipulating dependency relations as binary matrices.
- ▶ Use of binary matrices in finding flow errors within code.

Recommended reading:

Bergeretti, J.F. & Carré, B.A. Information-Flow and Data-Flow Analysis of while-Programs, *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, ACM, New York, Vol. 7, 1985.