

Networks, epidemics and vaccination: a review

Tom Britton, Dept of Mathematics, Stockholm University

Contents:

0. Epidemics on observable networks
1. Random graphs
General problem + an example
2. Epidemic models
General problem + an example
3. Vaccination
General problem + two examples

0. Epidemics on observable networks

Computerized age \implies data on large social networks

(Swedish) examples:

- Hospital data (relevant for MRSA): patient data
- Census data: ind. data on household, address and work
- Internet networks (dating sites, ...)

Networks are either static or dynamic

Epidemic model on network

Define model as in uniform mixing populations

Example

1. Some pre-defined individuals are initially infectious
2. While individual i is infectious (s)he spreads the disease to each friend independently at rate λ
(or at rates λw_{ij} in weighted network, perhaps added by “external” infectious force θ)
3. Latent and infectious periods are i.i.d. $\sim F$ and $\sim G$
(or more general if heterogeneous population)

What to do with model?

Probabilistic analysis? No

Statistical inference? Yes

Additional data: individual disease information

infected/not infected, show-of-symptom times, ...

How to analyse data?

Bayesian methods treating unobserved quantities (e.g. infection and removal times) as latent variables

Output from analysis:

Information about λ , F , G and other model parameters, but also potential transmission routes, ...

However

Many social networks are not observed!

⇒ These can be analysed using random graphs (with some pre-defined properties) ...

1. Random graphs

n nodes = individuals (n assumed large)

Edges between nodes reflect social link: “friendship”

Simple undirected graph (no self-loops or multiple edges)

Simplest example: Erdős-Rényi: Edges between individuals are present independently with probability λ/n .

Important properties of random graphs

1. **Degree distribution:** distribution of # friends X

How many friends do individuals have?

Erdős-Rényi: $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, \lambda/n) \approx \text{Po}(\lambda)$

2. **Clustering index:** C

Are friends of an individual friends themselves?

Definition: $C = \frac{3 \times \# \text{ triangles}}{\# \text{ connected triplets}}$

or corresponding expected proportion:

$P(\text{two friends of an individual are friends})$

Erdős-Rényi: $C \approx \lambda/n \rightarrow 0$

3. Assortative mixing: Degree correlation r

Are friends of social individuals (anti-)social?

Definition:

$$r = \frac{1}{\# \text{ friendships}} \sum_{i \sim j} (x_i - E(X))(x_j - E(X))$$

r positive: assortative, r negative: disassortative

Erdős-Rényi: $r \approx 0$

Further generalisations not treated today

different types of individual

more structure on graph (e.g. households)

time dynamic

...

Empirical social graphs/networks:

Degree distribution: heavy-tailed (e.g. power law)

Clustering index: $C > 0$

Degree correlation: $r > 0$ (most often in social networks)

General (unsolved) goal:

Given $X \sim F$, C and r , construct a random graph having these as degree distribution, clustering index and degree correlation r

In general not possible exactly, but maybe asymptotically?

An example:

F arbitrary (finite second moment), $C = 0 = r$

Configuration model (Bollobás, e.g. 2001):

1. Draw X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d from F ($X_i = \#$ stubs from i)
2. If $\sum_i X_i$ odd redo.
3. Pair the stubs completely at random.
4. Remove all loops and merge multiple edges.

Resulting graph is simple. If $E(X) < \infty$ empirical degree distribution converges to F , i.e. a negligible fraction of edges are removed. (B,D,M-L-06)

2. Epidemic models

Short term outbreak

Given social graph, an infectious disease may spread on it

SIR: susceptible \rightarrow infectious \rightarrow removed (=immune)

Model:

0. Initially, one randomly selected individual (the index case) externally infected. The rest are susceptible
1. An individual who gets infected becomes infectious for a duration I (=infectious period) and is then removed.
2. During the infectious period an individual infects his/her susceptible friends independently at rate λ .

The epidemic runs its course. Let \mathcal{T} those ultimately infected and $T = |\mathcal{T}|$ be the final number infected.

$$\implies p = P(\text{infect given susceptible}) = 1 - E(e^{-\lambda I})$$

If I is constant then infections occur independently (This case is treated from now on!)

Elegant observation (Mollison?)

Thin the original graph by removing each edge with probability $1 - p$

Let \mathcal{C} be the connected component of the index case and $C = |\mathcal{C}|$

Theorem: $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{T}$ and $C = T$

Why? An edge (friendship) will be used at most in one direction for transmission. Whether such a contact will result in transmission can be generated in advance.

Important questions

1. Given F , C and r : Can a big outbreak occur?

Equiv: Is there a giant component in thinned graph?

2. (If yes on 1) What is the probability of a major outbreak and how big will it be?

Equivalently: How big is the giant component?

Elegant observation: Index case randomly selected

$\implies P(\text{index belongs to giant}) = \text{relative size of giant}$

$P(\text{outbreak}) = \text{relative size of giant component} = \text{relative size of outbreak}$

Random graph theory: There is only one giant $O(n)$ component (B,J,R-07)

$P(\text{outbreak})$ easier to derive (using branching process theory)

An example (continued)

Main idea: During early stages of an epidemic in a large community, all friends of an infected (except the one (s)he was infected by!) will be susceptible

Q: What is degree distribution $\{\tilde{p}_k\}$ of infected during early stages?

A: $\tilde{p}_k \propto kp_k$ ($=kp_k/E(X)$)

Given $\tilde{X} = k$ the infected will infect $Bin(k - 1, p)$

\implies Off-spring distribution $Y \sim MixBin(\tilde{X} - 1, p)$

From theory for branching processes

a) If $E(Y) = R_0 = E(\tilde{X} - 1)p \leq 1$ (subcritical)

then br-pr will die out (minor epidemic) with prob 1

$$R_0 = p \sum_k (k-1)kp_k / E(X) = p(E(X) - \frac{V(X) - E(X)}{E(X)})$$

b) If $R_0 > 1$ br-pr takes off (major outbreak) with prob $1 - q$

where $q = P(\text{br-pr dies out})$ smallest positive solution to

$$q = \sum_j P(\text{br-pr dies out} | j \text{ off-spring}) P(Y = j) = \sum_j q^j P(Y = j)$$

q solves $q = \phi(q)$ where $\phi(s) = E(s^Y)$

$1 - q$ is also size of major outbreak (C,H,b-A-03)

3. Vaccination

Suppose a vaccine giving 100% immunity is available

Suppose individuals are vaccinated prior to outbreak

Q: Who and how many should be vaccinated such that $P(\text{outbreak})=0$? (“Herd immunity”)

Q’: Given a specific vaccination strategy v , what is induced reproduction number R_v (and $P(\text{outbreak})$ and size of outbreak if $R_v > 1$)?

Answer depends on how vaccinees are selected

Intuition: Better to vaccinate “social” individuals

Effect on graph: thinning of nodes (vaccinated nodes and edges connecting to them are removed)

An example

Uniform vaccination: a proportion v of randomly selected individuals are vaccinated

Effect on br-pr approximation:

- a) Infectious individuals have same degree distribution \tilde{X} .
- b) Given degree k the individual will infect $\text{Bin}(k - 1, p(1 - v))$ individuals

$$\implies R_v = p(1 - v)E(\tilde{X} - 1) = (1 - v)R_0$$

$$\implies \text{Critical vaccination coverage } v_c = 1 - 1/R_0$$

same as “classical” v_c (P-S, V-01)

If $R_v > 1$ $P(\text{outbreak})$ and outbreak size can also be determined using identical methods

Another example

Acquaintance vaccination: Individuals are selected randomly. A randomly selected friend of each individual is vaccinated (if it isn't yet vaccinated), until a proportion v are vaccinated.

This strategy is more effective because vaccinated individuals will have degree distribution \tilde{X}

Effect on br-pr approximation and final size:

Much harder problem (C,H,b-A-03 + B,J,M-L-07)

References

- Andersson H (1999): Epidemic models and social networks. *Math. Sci.* **24**, 128-147.
- B. Bollobás (2001) *Random Graphs*. 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press.
- B. Bollobás, S. Janson & O. Riordan (2007): The phase transition in inhomogeneous random graphs. *Random structures and algorithms* (to appear).
- Britton T, Deijfen M & Martin-Löf A. (2006): Generating simple random graphs with prescribed degree distributions. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **124**, 1377-1397
- Britton T, Janson S & Martin-Löf A. (2007): Graphs with specified degree distributions, simple epidemics and local vaccination strategies. (*Submitted*)
- Cohen R, Havlin S, ben-Avraham D. (2003): Efficient immunisation strategies for computer networks and populations. *Phys Rev Let* **91**, 247901.
- M.E.J. Newman (2003): The structure and function of complex networks. *SIAM Rev.* **45**, 167–256.
- Pastor-Satorras R & Vespignani P (2001): Epidemic dynamics and endemic states in complex networks. *Phys Rev E*, **63**, 066117.