

### EPIDEMICS AND RUMOURS ON NETWORKS

### **Valerie Isham**

Department of Statistical Science University College London



### **Acknowledgements**

### Maziar Nekovee

Complexity Group, BT Research & Centre for Computational Science, UCL

Simon Harden

Department of Statistical Science, UCL

### <sup>±</sup>UCl

# Models for the spread of infection or information– homogeneous mixing, closed population (size *n*)

Rumours:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{ignorant} & \rightarrow & \text{spreader} & \rightarrow & \text{stifler} \\ & \lambda XY/n & & \ref{algebra} \end{array}$ 

Spreaders in contact with spreaders or stiflers become stiflers

(Kendall, '57; Daley & Kendall '64, '65; Daley & Gani, '99)



### Stifling options

Undirected contacts: spreader – spreader contacts  $\Rightarrow$  both stifled

Directed contacts: Maki-Thompson (1973) model only initiating spreader is stifled spreader  $\rightarrow$  stifler  $\lambda(Y+Z)Y/n$ or, spreaders become stifled with prob. p $\lambda p(Y+Z)Y/n$ 

"forgetting"

 $\lambda p(Y+Z)Y/n + \delta Y$ 



### Nekovee et al (Physica A, 2007)

Looked at properties of this rumour model superposed on a network structure.

Notation:

 $n_k$  nodes of degree k (*i.e.* with k neighbours)

 $X_k, Y_k, Z_k$  ignorants, spreaders, stiflers of degree k

and network degree-degree correlation function

 $p_{jk} = P(\text{neighbour node has degree } k \mid \text{index node has degree } j)$ 

N et al used approximation re dependence, so that the influence of the network is encapsulated in the matrix of  $p_{j\,k}s$ 



Specifically, the total rate of "infection" of degree  $k\,$  ignorants is

 $\lambda k X_k \sum_j p_{kj} P(\text{node is spreader} \mid \text{degree } j \text{ and neighbour of ignorant node of degree } k).$ 

 $\simeq \lambda k X_k \sum_j p_{kj} Y_j / n_j$ 

Similarly, the total rate of stifling of degree k spreaders is

$$\simeq Y_k \left\{ \, \delta + \lambda pk \sum_j p_{k\,j} \, [n_j - X_j] / n_j \, \right\}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  homogenous mixing structure (the "approximate" model)

no stifling ( p=0 )  $\implies$  SIR Model

*N et al*'s analysis is deterministic (  $n \to \infty$  ) (they also assume broadcast messages but deterministic analysis is unaffected)



### Nekovee et al's results

"Homogeneous" case (all nodes have same degree k): final size eqn  $z = 1 - e^{-Rz}$  where  $R = \lambda k (1+p)/(\delta + \lambda kp) = (1+p)/(\psi + p)$   $(\psi = \delta/(\lambda k))$ 

For rumour to spread (non-zero z ) need R>1

- If  $\delta = 0$  (no forgetting) then R > 1 (no threshold)
- If  $\delta > 0$  then need  $\delta < \lambda k$  ( $\psi < 1$ ) regardless of p (cf SIR)

"Uncorrelated" case ( $p_{jk} \propto kp_k$ , where  $p_k$  is marginal degree dn) If  $\delta > 0$  then, to leading order in p, need

### $\delta < \lambda \left( \mu_K + \sigma_K^2 / \mu_K \right)$

Numerical results for simple random graph, scale-free network (random graph and initial spreader, deterministic rumour dynamics)



Stochastic rumour dynamics - approximate (homogeneous mixing) model and fixed k spreading rate  $\lambda kXY/n$  stifling rate  $Y \{ \delta + \lambda pk (1 - X/n) \}$ Final size distribution - embedded Markov chain Time in state (x, y):  $T_{xy} \sim \exp\{u_{xy} = (\delta + \lambda pk)y + \lambda(1-p)kxy/n\}$ For x > 0, y > 1 and x + y < n $(x,y) \rightarrow (x-1,y+1)$  with prob  $\phi_x = \frac{x}{(\psi+p)n+(1-p)x}$  $(x,y) \rightarrow (x,y-1)$  with prob  $1 - \phi_x = \overline{\phi}_x$ x(0) = n - 1, y(0) = 1 and states (x, 0) are absorbing Note that  $\psi < 1, > 1$  according as  $\phi_x > 0.5$ Absorption in state  $(s,0) \Rightarrow$  final size is n-1-s



Let 
$$\pi_{xy} = P(\text{ever reach } (x, y))$$
 then  
 $\pi_{xy} = \pi_{x+1} y_{-1} \phi_{x+1} + \pi_{xy+1} (1 - \phi_x) \text{ for } x \ge 0, y \ge 2, x + y \le n - 1.$   
 $\pi_{xy} = \pi_{x+1} y_{-1} \phi_{x+1}$  for  $0 \le x \le n - 2, y = n - x$   
 $\pi_{x1} = \pi_{x2} (1 - \phi_x)$  for  $0 \le x \le n - 2,$   
 $\pi_{x0} = \pi_{x1} (1 - \phi_x)$  for  $0 \le x \le n - 1,$   
with initial condition  $\pi_{n-1} = 1$ 

the equations can be solved iteratively to give  $\pi_{n-1-s}$  0.

Similarly for the time to absorption *e.g.* 

$$E(T_{xy}) = 1/u_{xy} + \phi_x E(T_{x-1y+1}) + (1 - \phi_x) E(T_{xy-1})$$



ignorants

spreaders



### or numerically, for final size distribution, using

$$\pi_{n-j\ 0} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \phi_{n-i}\right) \overline{\phi}_{n-j}^{2} \sum_{i_{1}=2}^{j} \sum_{i_{2}=\max(i_{1},3)}^{j} \cdots \sum_{i_{j-2}=\max(i_{j-3},j-1)}^{j} \overline{\phi}_{n-i_{1}} \cdots \overline{\phi}_{n-i_{j-2}}$$

for  $k \ge 3$  together with  $\pi_{n-1,0} = \overline{\phi}_{n-1}$ , and  $\pi_{n-2,0} = \phi_{n-1} \overline{\phi}_{n-2}^2$ 



### Thresholds for finite n

**Nåsell (1995):** SIR model (p = 0)

threshold defined as value of  $R_0(=1/\psi)$  for which the final size dn changes from J-shape to U-shape





### Nåsell (1995):

• conjecture based on numerical evaluation  $R_0 \sim 1 + \rho/n^{1/3}$  (apparently for n up to  $10^2$ )

### Ball & Nåsell (1994):

- theoretical support via approximation to the final size distribution as a mixture of the distribution for a small epidemic (branching process) and that for a large epidemic (normal distribution)
- numerical work up to  $n = 10^4$



### Thresholds for rumour and SIR models



Threshold becomes independent of *p* as *n* increases



#### Thresholds for rumour and SIR models



 $R_0 = 1 + 0.879 n^{-0.3267}$  (fitting to  $10^3 \le n \le 2 \times 10^5$ )



### Mean duration of rumour spread



cf Barbour (1975) for SIR



## Mean duration conditional on maximum number to whom rumour is spread

 $(\psi = 0.5, R = 1.5)$ 





### Simulations of the full network model

- fixed k, comparison with "approximate" model the effect of ignoring dependence
- comparisons between network types homogeneous (fixed k), uncorrelated simple random graph scale-free
- broadcast versus serial spreading

## Effect of ignoring dependence on final size distribution and threshold – fixed k

15 -Neighbour status correlation? No (modelling) frequency Yes (simulation) 10 1000 p - 0.1 $\Psi - 0.1667$ 5 0 -0.2 0.6 0.0 0.4 0.8 1.0 size / n

Expect threshold  $\psi = \delta/\lambda k$ to be smaller for simulations than for approximation – magnitude of difference?

For simulation  $\lambda = 0.012, k = 50, \delta = 0.1$ 

**^** 

### Effect of ignoring dependence on final size distribution and threshold – fixed k

15 -Neighbour status correlation? — No (modelling) frequency Yes (simulation) 10 n - 1000 p - 0.1 $\Psi - 0.1667$ 5 0 -0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 size / n

With n = 1000, p = 0.1threshold for model is  $\psi = 0.921$ eg

 $\delta = 0.547$  if  $\lambda = 0.012, k = 50$ 

For simulation if  $\lambda = 0.012, k = 50$ then threshold is smaller?  $(0.4 < \delta < 0.5)$ 

For simulation  $\lambda = 0.012, k = 50, \delta = 0.1$ 

**UCL** 

### Effect of network structure

- effect of *n* on shape of final size distribution



### 

### Effect of network structure on shape of final size distribution





### Effect of network structure on thresholds

Thresholds in  $\psi$  seem similar for homogeneous and random graphs, but higher for scale-free networks (deterministic approx threshold  $\delta = \lambda (\mu_K + \sigma_K^2 / \mu_K)$ )

### Serial vs broadcast spread

The rumour is much less likely to spread from an initial spreader in broadcast mode

If rumour takes off, then most of the population get to hear it,



### Thresholds for rumour and SIR models



Threshold becomes independent of p as n increases



### Thresholds for rumour and SIR models



**SIR:**  $p = 0 \ (R_0 = 1/\psi)$ 



### For general audience

Add background on simple det/stoch epidemic models



• Could add slide with det threshold for a general audience



### Threshold behaviour - SIR model

Branching process approximation for small Y(0)/n (Whittle, 1955) ie assume all contacts of infectives are with susceptibles

Poisson infectious contacts for exponential infectious period

 $\Rightarrow$  geometric distribution of offspring, pgf G(z), mean  $R_0 = \alpha/\gamma$ 

Starting from single infective extinction probability is solution of z=G(z)

ie  $1/R_0$  for  $R_0 > 1$ 



 $\implies \text{major outbreak with prob. } 1 - (1/R_0)^{Y(0)} \quad (R_0 > 1)$ U-shaped *final size* distribution (J -shaped for  $R_0 < 1$ ) time to extinction O (In n) (Barbour, 1975)



If the outbreak does take off....

Central Limit effect as Y(t) increases:

The SIR process tends to a Gaussian diffusion about the deterministic solution.

Formally, look at a sequence of models as  $n \to \infty$ , where x(0)/n, y(0)/n are kept fixed (Whittle 1957, Kurtz 1970, ....)

Means and variances scale with *n* 

(and thus the *proportions* tend to the deterministic solution with probability one)



# Could add description /results for rumour model from D&G

Deterministic analysis Results for embedded chain Diffusion approxn



### TexPoint fonts used in EMF. Read the TexPoint manual before you delete this box.: AAAAA