Novel Strategies for Controlling Mosquito-Borne Diseases: New Challenges for Modelers

# Alun L. Lloyd

Biomathematics Graduate Program and Department of Mathematics North Carolina State University

In collaboration with: Fred Gould, Krisztian Magori, Yunxin Huang and Matthieu Legros Department of Entomology, NCSU

# Dengue

Dengue

Virus (flavivirus: an arbovirus) Four serotypes

Vector: Aedes aegypti (and others)

- 50 million cases per year
- Severe flu-like illness with severe joint pain "classic dengue": "break-bone fever"





About 1% of cases lead to dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF)
 Untreated, DHF death rate can be 20%+, but treatment reduces this to 1%.





Image credits: UN, New York Times, unknown

# **Traditional Control of Mosquito-Borne Infections**

#### Insecticides

Spraying (vector population suppression)

Insecticide-laced bed nets Ineffective against mosquitoes that mainly bite during the day (e.g. A. aegypti)

Insecticide resistance, safety, off-target killing

#### • Drug treatment

Not always available Major problems with drug resistance Side effects









Image credits: C. Curtis, Tjeerd Wiersma, J. Davis, epocrates.com

Vaccines

Antigenically diverse pathogens

dengue: four serotypes, 'immune enhancement' vaccine that is not protective against all four serotypes could lead to more cases of DHF





 Sterile male release Introduce a large number of sterile mosquitoes (e.g. radiation sterilized):

Those wild-type females that mate with released sterile males will have no offspring Sterile females will have no offspring

Problems:

- Sterility does not get passed on to future generations
- Density-dependence

The success of this approach requires the production and release of a **large** number of sterile insects on an ongoing basis

It can work: the screwworm fly has been eradicated from the US, and as far south as the Panama Canal



# **Screwworm fly Eradication**

#### Screw-worm fly, Cochliomyia hominivorax, distribution and eradication







# **Genetic Approaches II**

Vector Population Replacement:

Produce a mosquito that is unable to transmit infection, and cause it to become more widespread than (i.e. *replace*) the wild-type mosquito

Genetic engineering (transgenics) approaches:

- Shorten lifespan of mosquito to below extrinsic incubation period of pathogen
- Co-opt (hijack) insect's immune response (e.g. RNAi) to attack virus
- Make infection lethal to insect ('death on infection')

Problem: resulting mosquito is typically less fit (has fewer offspring), so trait will not spread through the population (outcompeted by wild-type)

Need a genetic drive mechanism to aid the spread of the desired gene non-Mendelian inheritance: gene is passed on to more than 50% of offspring Many mechanisms: Medea, Wolbachia, transposons, ...

A lot of highly impressive molecular biology going on in this area



Will such approaches work? We need to model multiple processes at multiple scales

Population dynamics and genetics of mosquito

Disease transmission

*A. aegypti* have a close relationship with humans, laying eggs in water containers

Low dispersal rates

Household-based transmission

Highly stochastic, spatially heterogeneous, seasonally forced system

What level of detail do we need? We use a spectrum of models, from simple to complex

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_10.jpeg)

lquitos, Peru

# **Stochasticity: Invasion Probabilities**

Branching process approximation to Ross model (Bartlett 1964, Griffiths 1972, Ball 1983)

If  $R_0 (=R_0^{HV}R_0^{VH}) > 1$ , then major outbreak probabilities are

 $1 - \frac{R_0^{HV} + 1}{R_0^{HV}(R_0^{VH} + 1)}$ 

following the introduction of a single infectious vector

following the introduction of a single infectious host

 $1 - rac{R_0^{VH} + 1}{R_0^{VH}(R_0^{HV} + 1)}$ 

Asymmetry in invasion probability:

if  $R_0^{HV} \neq R_0^{VH}$ , it matters whether an introduction occurs via host or vector, even if the overall  $R_0$  is the same

Invasion probability from one infective host Contours of equal invasion probability (solid) Contours of equal overall  $R_0$  (dashed)

![](_page_7_Figure_9.jpeg)

Lloyd et al. (2007) J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 851.

# **Invasion Probabilities in Heterogeneous Case**

Multi-type branching process approximation (Griffiths 72, Ball 83, Becker & Marschner 90)

Two host, one vector with exponential infectious periods

Heterogeneity: vector has preference for one host over the other

Vector makes fraction  $\gamma_1$  of its bites on host type 1 This is the only heterogeneity 20% of hosts are of type 1

Solid curves: introduction of single infective vector Dashed curves: introduction of single infective host

(a) and (b): transmission probs host to vector, vector to host are roughly equal.

Ten times as many vectors in (b) than in (a)

(c) assumes marked asymmetry in transmission probabilities host  $\bigstar \rightarrow$  vector ,few vectors

Complexity of patterns, differences between what is seen for hosts and vectors

![](_page_8_Figure_10.jpeg)

Lloyd et al. (2007) J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 851.

#### Stochasticity: Variability About Endemic Equilibrium

d

Use moment equations (e.g Isham, 1991) to estimate variances and covariances

Nonlinear system, so set is not closed Must use moment closure approximation e.g. multivariate normal approximation

80

Can obtain an approximation to the

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(Y) = \alpha E(I) + \frac{\alpha}{H}E(YI) - \xi E(Y)$$
(3.17)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(I) = \frac{\beta V}{H}E(Y) - \frac{\beta}{H}E(YI) - \delta E(I)$$
(3.18)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(Y^2) = \alpha E(I) + \xi E(Y) + \frac{\alpha(2H-1)}{H}E(YI) - 2\xi E(Y^2) - \frac{2\alpha}{H}E(Y^2I)$$
(3.19)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(I^{2}) = \delta E(I) + \frac{\beta V}{H}E(Y) - 2\delta E(I^{2}) + \frac{\beta(2V-1)}{H}E(YI) - \frac{2\beta}{H}E(YI^{2})$$
(3.20)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(YI) = \alpha E(I^2) - (\xi + \delta)E(YI) + \frac{\beta V}{H}E(Y^2) - \frac{\alpha}{H}E(YI^2) - \frac{\beta}{H}E(Y^2I)$$
(3.21)

quasi-stationary distribution about the endemic equilibrium (red dashed curves)

Results can be compared to exact calculation of Nåsell (1991) (solid black curves)

Works well, provided that

from the equilibrium

80 Number of infected vectors, I Number of infected vectors, *I* 00 00 00 60 40 Number of infected hosts, Y10 30 10 20 30 40 35 Number of infected hosts, Y numbers don't stray too far away

100

(Nåsell (1991) Math. Biosci. 107, 187. Lloyd et al. (2007) J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 851.)

#### Stochasticity: Variability About Endemic Equilibrium

Approach can be extended to heterogeneous situations

Size of moment equation set increases rapidly: for an *m* host, *n* vector system there are (m+n)(m+n+3)/2 equations for the 2<sup>nd</sup> order set

Automate process using maple (generate PDE for cumulant generating function, expand in a Taylor series, identify terms)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

Lloyd et al. (2007) J. R. Soc. Interface 4, 851.

## **Skeeter Buster**

#### **General characteristics:**

- Species-specific
- Cohort and stage based eggs, larvae, pupae, adults
- Detailed biology larval and pupal development track weights of cohorts
- Weather-dependent (temperature and rainfall)
- Spatially explicit
   containers and houses
- Stochastic

Based on an earlier model (CIMSiM: Focks *et al.*, 1993)

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

## **Skeeter Buster**

### More details:

- Daily timestep
- Each container's water level and food content are tracked water gain (rain, human filling), loss (evaporation, human emptying) nutrient input (falling from vegetation, dead pupae), output (consumption)
- Water level important for egg laying and egg development (dessication)
- Larvae compete for food
- Enzyme kinetics-based equations model growth and development of immatures
- Female adult weights and gonotrophic cycle are tracked
   Female mosquitoes bite when they need blood (allows egg production)
- Mating between males and females, depends on sizes larval and pupal development track weights of cohorts
- Movement: currently random, but could depend on resident population (e.g. females might migrate in search of a mate if no males are present)
- Fertilization of females? One-time deal, or multiple matings? Sperm choice?

## **Skeeter Buster Results**

Effects of container heterogeneity and spatial structure are crucial

Mosquito dispersal pattern is very important for the spread of a gene Typically, *A. aegypti* disperse over short distances (nearby houses), but any long-range dispersal has a major impact

Age structure is important Releases of different-aged individuals (e.g. eggs, pupae, adults) can have dramatically different outcomes: reproductive value

Stochastic effects play an important role

Multiple releases may be beneficial for many strategies

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

Work in progress: linking ecological model to epidemiological model

How effective does the anti-pathogen gene need to be?

What fraction of the wild-type population needs to be replaced?

Even if we cannot achieve fixation of the transgene, can we significantly impact transmission, e.g. reduce  $R_0$  below one?

Investigate negative consequences (e.g. recent paper by Koella that suggested vector control could, under certain circumstances, lead to an increase in dengue hemorrhagic fever)

# So Everything is Looking Good?

Use of this technology raises important ethical and societal questions

- Government regulation (look to transgenic crops as a model)
- Public acceptance (again, transgenic crops...)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

oldamericancentury.org

Project involves working with regulatory bodies, health officials, engaging the public, education

and honestly evaluating the risks (e.g. virus evolving resistance to antipathogen gene, loss of linkage between drive and effector genes)

# Very cautious approach

Field Trials and Ecological Studies

Very cautious approach:

Initial work involves releases in controlled, enclosed, environments (large cages)

Field site: Tapachula, Mexico (Hurricane risk...) cultural sensitivity

Modelers need to know detailed information about the ecology of the mosquito in Tapachula

Field work will be carried out by a large team of entomologists and ecologists (US and local)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

Acknowledgements

Funding: National Institutes of Health Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grand Challenges in Global Health)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

At NC State: Fred Gould (NCSU entomology) Krisztian Magori, Yunxin Huang and Matthieu Legros

Elsewhere... members of the Gates project: UC Irvine, Tony James (PI)

UC Davis: Tom Scott, Amy Morrison Caltech: Bruce Hay, Catherine Ward Cornell: Laura Harrington

Colorado State: Ken Olson, Bill Black, Jonathan Carlson Oxitech (Didcot, UK): Luke Alphey Texas A&M: Craig Coates Notre Dame: David Severson Oswaldo Cruz Inst., Brazil: Claudio Struchiner Virginia Tech: Zhijian Tu

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)