# Programming Paradigms Languages F28PL, Lecture 3 Yet more on ML

Jamie Gabbay

November 12, 2015

## Type variable

SML provides type variables to express unknown types. We use the Greek alphabet  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  for these; in ASCII we write 'a, 'b, 'c.

Functions with type variables are (parametrically) polymorphic—the 'parameters' here are the type variables.

## Type variables

#### You are required to be able to:

- deduce the types of such simple functions,
- generate functions to populate a polymorphic type,
- deduce what a function must do, just from its type.
- Q. Write a function of type 'a -> bool -> ('a,bool).
- Q. What are the types of fn  $x \Rightarrow [x]$  and fn  $f \Rightarrow (f 0)$ ?
- Q. What must the function

Your lecturer will provide answers at the modest cost of \$10/question. PayPal accepted.

#### Type variables

Type variables must be used uniformly. For instance, 'a \* 'a \* 'a is populated by (1,2,3) and (true, false, true), and ("a", "b", "c"), but not by (1, false, "c").

Take a look:

```
> val f = fn (x:'a,y:'a,z:'a) => (x,y,z);
val f = fn : 'a * 'a * 'a -> 'a * 'a * 'a
> f (1.2.3):
val it = (1, 2, 3) : int * int * int
> f (true, false, true);
val it = (true, false, true) : bool * bool * bool
> f ("a","b","c"):
val it = ("a", "b", "c") : string * string * string
> f (1,false,"c");
Error-Can't unify bool with string (Different type constructor
( 1, false, "c")
```

Polymorphic parametricity is quite good fun. You too can write parametric programs; have a go.

### Tuple patterns

Patterns can include tuples (we have already seen this).

E.g. join two strings in tuple together with a space in between:

```
- fun tJoin (s1,s2) = s1^"_"^s2;
> val tJoin =
    fn : string * string -> string
(* takes 2 strings so s1 and s2 must be string *)
- tJoin ("hello","there");
> "hello_there" : string
```

### Tuple patterns

This might seem obvious, but students often forget about patterns. Here's a really compact program to swap the elements of a list of pairs:

### Tuple patterns

- Q. Does swapmypairs implement the same function as map  $(fn (x,y) \Rightarrow (y,x))$ ? If so, why? If not, why not?
- A. Yes. map just implements the traversal of the list.
- Q. Does swapmypairs implement the same function as map (fn  $(x,y) \Rightarrow if (x=y)$  then (x,x) else (y,x))? If so, why? If not, why not?
- A. No. The type is ( $^{\prime\prime}$ a \*  $^{\prime\prime}$ a) list -> ( $^{\prime\prime}$ a \*  $^{\prime\prime}$ a) list.

#### Lists

A list is an arbitrary (possibly 0) length sequence of the same type.

If 'a is a type then 'a list is a type populated by list of 'a.

Lists are polymorphic. 'a can be any type, including a list or function type:

```
> [];
val it = [] : 'a list
> nil:
              (* Synonymous with [] *)
val it = [] : 'a list
> [#"a",#"b"]; (* List of chars *)
val it = [#"a", #"b"] : char list
> [[],[#"a"],[#"a",#"b"]];
                  (* List of lists of chars *)
val it = [[], [#"a"], [#"a", #"b"]] : char list list
> [fn x => x, fn f => fn x => fx];
                  (* List of polymorphic functions *)
val it = [fn, fn] : (('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b) list
```

#### Lists

Non-examples of ML lists:

[1."one"]

```
Error: must have same type
> [fn (x:int) => x, fn f => fn x => f x];
     (* Why precisely doesn't this work? *)
Error: must have same type
List constructors: [] or nil are synonymous for the empty list, and
(op ::):'a -> 'a list -> 'a list generates a list from an
'a and an 'a list.
List patterns: [] and hd::tl.
Q. What type do hd and tl have in fn (hd::tl) => (hd,tl)?
A. 'a and 'a list.
```

#### Lists

- Q. Are the following lists equal? [1,2,3] and 1::(2::[3]) and 1::(2::(3::[]))?
- A. Yes! Note in particular that [3] is equal to 3::[].
- Q. Are the following lists equal? [1,2,3] and [1]::[2]::[3] and 1::(2::(3))?
- A. Trick question. The right-hand one is not a list, because (3) is not a list. The middle one is also not a list, because [1]:int list and [2]:int list and [3] does not have type int list list.
- I would never ask a trick question like that in the exam, but I might ask this:
- Q. [1]::[2]::[3] is not a well-formed ML expression. Give a full and detailed explanation of why.
- A. It raises a type error, because op :: has type 'a -> 'a list -> 'a list and [2] has type int list and [3] does not have type (int list) list.

10/29

#### Precedence

```
fn f => fn x => f x::[x]; (* Does this mean ... *)
fn f => fn x => (f x)::[x]; (* this? 0r ... *)
fn f => fn x => f(x::[x]); (* this? *)
op :: has lower precedence than function calls. Thus:
fn f => fn x => f x::[x];
val it = fn : ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a list
fn f => fn x => (f x)::[x];
val it = fn : ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a list
> fn f => fn x => f(x::[x]);
val it = fn : ('a list -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b
```

## Example use of lists

```
Very simple:
```

```
fun countdown 0 = [0]
  | countdown n = n::(countdown (n-1));
```

Generates a countdown. Equivalent to list(range(n,0,-1)) in Python.

#### What is a list?

You must fully appreciate the significance of the following slogan:

A list is either empty or a head and a tail.

This is more than just a structural fact of lists. It implies a programming principle. To write a function on lists is, simply, to account for these two possibilities.

Thus:

```
Example list programs
   fun sumlist [] = 0
     | sumlist (hd::tl) = hd+(sumlist tl);
   fun joinlist [] = ""
     | ioinlist (hd::tl) = hd^(joinlist tl);
   fun doublelist [] = []
     | doublelist (hd::tl) = (2*hd)::(doublelist tl);
   fun ziplists [] [] = [] (* Raises warning. Why? *)
     | ziplists (h1::t1) (h2::t2) = (h1,h2)::(ziplists t1 t2);
   fun interleave [] l2 = l2
     | interleave l1 [] = l1
     | interleave (h1::t1) (h2::t2) = h1::h2::(interleave t1 t2);
   fun splitlist [] = ([],[])
     | splitlist [hd] = ([hd],[])
     | splitlist (h1::h2::tl) =
          let val (l1,l2) = (splitlist tl) in <math>(h1::l1,h2::l2) end
   fun concat [] l = l
     concat (hd::tl) l = hd::(concat hd tl);
```

You don't need to pattern-match every argument (e.g. concact).

Note local definition in splitlist; see Slide 20.

### Equality types

Suppose we want to count how often v appears in a list.

```
fun count v [] = 0
    | count v (hd::tl) =
        if (hd=v) then 1+(count v tl) else (count v tl);
> val countzero = fn : ''a -> ''a list -> int
```

Note the double dash here. This is because v must have equality type; it must support equality comparison.

Integers are an equality type. The canonical example of a non-equality type is a function type. Functions cannot be compared for equality, in general (cf. halting problem).

```
> 0 = 0;
val it = true : bool
> (fn x => x) = (fn x => x);
Error-Can't unify ''a with 'b -> 'b (Requires equality type)
```

## Equality types

You are expected to know about equality types, and to recognise when they will appear. For instance:

Q. State the ML types of the following two programs, and explain their similarities and differences.

```
fun easyA (x,y) = true;
fun easyB (x,y) = (x=x) andalso (y=y);
```

A. The types are easyA: 'a\*'b -> bool and easyB: ''a\*''b -> bool. Both functions calculate the function 'map (x,y) to true', however, easyB performs equality tests on x and y and so restricts the polymorphism to equality types.

#### Accumulation variable

An extra variable added to a recursive function, used to pass information from one stage of the recursion to another.

Suppose we want to count the number of negative, zero, or positive numbers in a list of integers. We can use a 3-tuple to accumulate our count as we traverse the list:

Counts is tail recursive so a sufficiently smart compiler will re-use local stack in the function call and produce code effectively identical to an iterative loop (i.e. a 'for-next' loop). You're supposed to know that, too.

17 / 29

#### Accumulation variable

There's a nice opportunity for some partial application here.

The third and final program has the most style, to my mind.

#### Accumulation variable

```
Generate list of squares from m to n inclusive, in ascending order:
fun squares m n =
   if m>n then []
        else (m*m)::squares (m+1) n;
val squares =
   fn : int -> int -> int list

m can be viewed as an accumulation variable. For for instance:
val fromzerosquares = squares 0;
```

#### Local definitions

Local definitions let you bind a variable to a value in a local scope:

```
let val x = 12
  in x*x*x
  end;
> 1728 : int
```

Almost equivalent to function application:

```
(fn x => x*x*x) 12
> 1728 : int
```

But not quite: instantiation of type variables is per instance with let and per application with function application.

Thus ...

#### Local definitions

```
let val id = (fn x => x) in (id 1,id "one") end;
val it = (1, "one") : int * string
(* That works *)
(fn id => (id 1,id "one")) (fn x => x);
Error-Can't unify Int32.int/int with string
(* That doesn't! *)
```

fn x => x has type 'a -> 'a. The type variable can be instantiated to int on the left and string on the right in the first case, but must be instantiated uniformly to a single type in the second case.

Most of the time, let is useful in combination with pattern-matching. The splitlist example from Slide 14 is typical.

Exceptions are impure. They step outside the functional programming paradigm.

ML is not a pure functional programming language. It is a programming language within which pure functional programming is easy to do. But, if you choose to stray to the dark side, you can.

Exceptions break the flow of control—typically after some error. When an exception is raised control is transferred to the innermost handler for that exception.

The canonical example is divide-by-zero, of course.

An exception is initiated by raise.

If no handler is present, then control passes to the system and the program stops.

```
- exception DIVIDE_BY_ZERO; (* We declare our exception *)
> exception DIVIDE_BY_ZERO
- fun divide x y =
   if y=0
     then raise DIVIDE_BY_ZERO
   else x div y;
> val divide = fn: int -> int
- divide 3 0;
> exception DIVIDE_BY_ZERO
uncaught exception DIVIDE_BY_ZERO
```

```
Exceptions can carry data:
```

```
> exception MY_EXCEPTION of int;
exception MY_EXCEPTION of int
> raise MY_EXCEPTION 5;
Exception- MY_EXCEPTION 5 raised
```

Exceptions are handled as follows:

```
(raise MY_EXCEPTION 5) handle MY_EXCEPTION x => x+1;
```

Top-level exceptions must be monomorphic (having one type—i.e. not polymorphic) and types of local exceptions are frozen. So this won't compile:

```
exception LIST_ODD of 'a;
fun twotop (h1::h2::tl) = [h1,h2]
  | twotop [h1:'a] = raise LIST_ODD h1
  | twotop [] = raise LIST_EMPTY;
```

Why this restriction? To exclude this kind of thing:

```
exception EXCEPT of 'a;
(raise EXCEPT true) handle EXCEPT x => x+1;
```

### Type aliases

```
type person_ID = int;
type name = string;
Now person_ID is a synonym for int. You can use explicit type
annotations freely:
> type person_ID = int;
type person_ID
> type name = string;
type name
> fn (x:person_ID) => x;
val it = fn : person_ID -> person_ID
> fn (x:person_ID) => (x:int);
val it = fn : person_ID -> int
> fn (x:int) => x;
val it = fn : int -> int
```

### Print depth

Consider a long list:

```
> List.tabulate(1000, fn x => x);
val it =
[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,
    17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30,
    31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44,
    45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58,
    59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72,
    73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86,
    87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, ...]
    : int LIST.list
```

The pretty-printer gives up after 100 entries. This is controlled by a global variable for print depth.

Variable is compiler-dependent.

## Print depth

```
Running PolyML on my system it's called
PolyML.Compiler.printDepth.

(I found this out from polyml.org/documentation/Reference/
PolyMLStructure.html.)
> PolyML.Compiler.printDepth;
val it = ref 100 : int ref
> PolyML.Compiler.printDepth := 1000;
val it = () : unit
> List.tabulate(1000, fn x => x);
(* Whole list printed out *)
```

Note the use here of global variables and thus global state. Exceptions and global variables are the two big 'impure' (i.e. non-functional) features of ML.