## F28PL1 Programming Languages

## Lecture 16: Prolog 1

## Overview

- logic programming language
- roots in predicate logic
- developed by Alan Colmerauer \& collaborators in Marseilles, in early 1970s
- ISO standard derived from University of Edinburgh
- adapted for Japanese 5th Generation programme, 1980s
- now widely used for Artificial Intelligence research \& education


## Overview

- based on logic programming
- use of predicate logic as a specification language
- an implementation of predicate logic would enable the use of specifications directly as programs
- concentrate on describing a problem solution as an input/output relation
- not an input/output process
- i.e. in a descriptive rather than a prescriptive manner


## Overview

- enables a high degree of abstraction and of implementation independence
- emphasis is on what is to be done rather than how it is to be done
- predicate logic has a well developed proof theory
- use formal techniques to manipulate/verify specifications
- specification can be used to :
- check that outputs correspond to inputs
- find outputs from inputs
- find inputs from outputs


## Overview

- not a pure logic programming language
- known evaluation order for predicate manipulation
- implementation considerations are used by programmers
- many predicates can only be used for checking or for finding outputs from inputs but not both
- quantification must be made explicit


## Overview

- differences with imperative languages:
- no necessary distinction between programs and data
- there is no concept of a statement as a state change, for example, through assignment
- like functional languages
- evaluation order is not necessarily linear


## Prolog resources

- we will use SICStus Prolog interpreter
- from the Swedish Institute of Computer Science
- licenses cost real money - don't buy one!
- SICStus documentation from:
- http://www.sics.se/isl/sicstuswww/site/documentation.html
- free Prologs from:
- http://www.gprolog.org/
- http://www.swi-prolog.org/
- W. F. Clocksin \& C. S. Mellish, Programming in Prolog: Using the ISO Standard, (5th edition), Springer, 2003


## Running Prolog

- to run Prolog on Linux:
\$ sicstus
SICStus 4.2.1 (x86_64-linux-glibc2.7):
Wed Feb 1 01:15:06 CET 2012
Licensed to SP4macs.hw.ac.uk
| ?
- | ?- - Prolog prompt
- system commands are Prolog terms - end with a .


## Running Prolog

- system does not support interactive editing
- use separate windows for program \& interpreter
- to load a program
| ?- [file name].
- file name is any valid Linux path
- if not a single word then in '. . .'
- file name convention
-Prolog files end with .pl


## Running Prolog

- to turn on tracing:
| ? - trace.
to turn off tracing:
| ? - notrace.
- to leave Prolog
| ?- ^D


## Prolog summary

- weak types
- i.e. can change type associated with variable
- dynamic typing
- i.e. types checked at run time
- ad-hoc polymorphism
- variable can be bound to different types as program runs
- non-linear evaluation
- programs may backtrack to unbind and rebind variables


## Memory model

- database
- holds asserted facts and rules
- searched and manipulated to answer questions
- may change arbitrarily during program
- stack
- variable bindings
- information about current position(s) in database
- heap
- space allocated to data structures


## Programs

- Prolog program consists of series of clauses specifying:
- facts
- rules
- questions
- load program from file
- system will:
- remember facts \& rules in database
- attempt to satisfy questions using facts \& rules in database


## Terms

- clauses made up of terms
- atom
- words or symbols
- sequence of lower case letters, digits \& _s
- starting with a lower case letter
- sequence of characters in '. . .'
e.g. size top45 -- +++ fish_finger
'one hundred'


## Terms

- integer
- e.g. 077742 -199
- variable
- sequence of letters, digits and _s
- starting with an upper case letter or a _
- e.g. Cost X_11 _Property


## Terms

- structure
- constructs for program and data structures
functor(arguments)
- functor - atom
- arguments - one or more terms separated by ,s
- e.g. wet(water) cost(milk,95)
- recursive definition
- nested structures as arguments
- e.g. parents(mark,mother(margaret),
father(dennis))


## Terms

- infix structures
term atom term
- atom usually a symbol
- used for infix operations
- e.g. 7*8 X=99
- NB these are structures not expressions
-     * and = are symbols


## Facts

- a fact is a structure
- e.g. fly(pigs)
- e.g.ordered (1,3,2)
- NB facts have no necessary meanings


## Questions 1

- suppose l16.pl holds:
wet(water).
cost(milk,95).
| ?- [‘l16.pl’].
yes
- facts now in database


## Question matching

- question is a structure
- if no variables in question then system:
- looks for a database clause
- with the same functor and arguments as the question
- displays yes or no


## Question matching

- is wet (water) a fact?
| ?- wet(water).
yes
- try wet(water)
- water matches water


## Question matching

- does milk cost 85?
| ?- cost(milk,85).
no
- try cost(milk,95)
- milk matches milk
- 85 doesn't match 95


## Questions with variables

- if variables in question then system:
- looks for a clause in the database with:
- same functor as question
- atoms in same argument positions as in question
- instantiates variables in question to terms in same positions in assertion
- displays question variable instantiations
- use this form to search database for values in clauses satisfying query


## Questions with variables

- for what $X$ is wet true?
| ?- wet (X).
X = water ? - press Return
yes
- match wet (X)
- try wet(water)
- X instantiated to water


## Questions with variables

- what $X$ has cost 95 ?
| ?- cost (X,95).
X = milk ? - press Return
yes
- try cost(milk, 95)
- cost matches cost
- 95 matches 95
- X instantiated to milk


## Questions with variables

- what $X$ has cost $Y$ ?
| ?- $\operatorname{cost}(X, Y)$.
X = milk
Y = 95 ? - press Return
yes
- try cost(milk, 95)
- cost matches cost
- X instantiated to milk
- Y instantiated to 95


## Multiple facts

- can have multiple facts with same functor and different arguments
- e.g.
wet(water).
wet(milk).
wet(orange_juice).


## Multiple facts

- multiple facts with the same functor are logical disjunctions
functor (argument1).
functor (argument2).

표
functor (argument1) or functor (argument2) or ...

## Backtracking

- when system offers solution

1. press Return

- accept solution
- system displays yes

2. enter no

- reject solution
- system will backtrack
- uninstantiate any variables in question
- try to find another clause matching question


## Backtracking

| -? wet (X)

- match wet(X)
- try wet (water)
-X instantiated to water
X = water ? no
- uninstantiate $X$ from water
- try wet(milk)
-X instantiated to milk
X = milk ?


## Backtracking

X = milk ? no

- uninstantiate $X$ from milk
- try wet(orange_juice)
-X instantiated to orange_juice
X = orange_juice ? no
- uninstantiate $X$ from orange_juice
- no more matches
no


## Terms and variables

- all occurrences of a variable in a term are the same instance
- whenever one occurrence of a variable is bound to a value
- all occurrences now reference that value
- e.g. same (X,X).
- both X's are the same variable


## Matching variables

- when a question term with variables matches a database term with variables
- variables in the same position share
| ?- same(fish,Y).
- match same(X,X)
- try same(fish, Y)
- X instantiated to fish
-X shares with $Y$
Y = fish ?


## Rules

- rules are superficially similar to methods or functions
- fundamental differences...
- a rule has the form: head :- body
- means:

1. the head is true if the body is true
2. the body implies the head

- head - term, usually an atom or structure
- body - term, often a conjunction of terms separated by, i.e., behaves like logical and


## Variables in rules

- all occurrences of a variable in a term are the same instance
- so occurrences of variables in the head are the same instance as occurrences in the body
- whenever an occurrence of a variable in the body is bound to a value
- all other occurrences reference that value
- including occurrences in the head
- use this to get results back from body of rule to head


## Rules

- suppose we have the facts:
mother(betty, ann).
mother(delia, betty).
- $X$ is $Y$ 's parent if they are $Y$ 's mother parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y).
- X's are same variable
- Y's are same variable


## Rule matching

- to match a rule, try to match the body
- to match the body, try all body options in turn
- if matching the body fails:
- backtrack, undoing any variable instantiations
- try the next rule option


## Rule matching

| ?- parent(delia,P).

- try parent (X,Y) :- mother (X,Y)
- X instantiated to delia
- Y and $P$ share
- match mother(delia, Y)
- try mother (betty, ann)
- delia does not match betty


## Rule matching

- backtrack
- match mother(delia, Y)
-try mother(delia, betty)
-delia matches delia
- bind $Y$ to betty
- P shares with Y so:
$P=$ betty ?


## Multiple rules

- multiple rules with the same functor are like logical disjunctions
functor (arguments1) :- body1.
functor (arguments2) :- body2.

표
functor (arguments1) :- body1 or
functor (arguments2) :- body2 or ...

## Rules

- suppose we have the facts:
mother(betty, ann).
mother(delia, betty).
father(chris,ann). father(eric, betty).
- $X$ is $Y$ 's parent if they are $Y$ 's mother or $Y$ 's father parent $(X, Y)$ :- mother $(X, Y)$. parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y).


## Rules

| ?- parent(P,Q).

- try parent(X,Y) :- mother (X,Y)
$-P$ shares with $X$
- Q shares with Y
- match mother (X,Y)
- try mother(betty, ann)
$-X$ instantiated to betty (shares with $P$ )
- Y instantiated to ann (shares with Y)
$P=$ betty
Q = ann? no


## Rules

- backtrack
- match mother (X,Y)
- try mother(delia, betty)
- X insantiated to delia (shared with P)
- Y instantiated to betty (shared with Q)

P = delia
Q = betty ? no

- backtrack


## Rules

- try parent(X,Y) :- father (X,Y)
- $P$ shares with $X$
- Q shares with $Y$
- match father (X,Y)
- try father(chris,ann)
- X instantiated to chris (shared with P)
- Y instantiated to ann (shared with Q)

P = chris
Q = ann? no

## Rules

- if the body is a conjunction:
functor(arguments) :- term1,term2...
- body is equivalent to: term1 and term2 and ...
- to match conjunctive body, match each termi in turn
- if matching termi fails then backtrack to termi-1 and try again
- NB system must remember how far each termi has progressed
- NB termi will also involve nested terms for nested rules


## Rule example

- consider the train from Dundee to Aberdeen:

Dundee->Arbroath->Montrose->Stonehaven->Aberdeen next(dundee, arbroath).
next(arbroath, montrose). next(montrose, stonehaven).
next(stonehaven, aberdeen).

- $X$ is before $Y$ if $X$ is next to $Y$ or
$X$ is next to $W$ and $W$ is before $Y$
before(X,Y) :- next(X,Y).
before $(X, Y)$ :- before(X,W),next(W,Y).


## Rule example

| ?- before(arbroath, aberdeen).
yes

- try before(arbroath, aberdeen) :- next(arbroath,aberdeen)
- try next(arbroath, aberdeen)
- fail \& backtrack
- try before(arbroath,aberdeen) :-
before(arbroath, W), next(W, aberdeen)
- try before(arbroath,W), next(W, aberdeen)
- try before(arbroath,W) :- next(arbroath,W)
- next(arbroath,W)
- matches next(arbroath,montrose)
- before(arbroath,W) succeeds with W instantiated to montrose
- try next(montrose, aberdeen)
- fail \& backtrack


## Rule example

- try before(arbroath,W) :-
- before(arbroath, $W^{\prime}$ ), next ( $W^{\prime}, W$ )
-     - where $W^{\prime}$ is a new variable
try before(arbroath, ${ }^{\prime}$ ) :- next(arbroath, ${ }^{\prime}$ )
- try next(arbroath, ${ }^{\prime}$ )
- matches next(arbroath, montrose)
before(arbroath, $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ ) succeeds with $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}$ instantiated to montrose try next(montrose, W)
- matches next(montrose, stonehaven)
- before(arbroath,W) succeeds with W instantiated to stonehaven
- try next(stonehaven, aberdeen)
- matches next(stonehaven, aberdeen)
- before(arbroath, aberdeen) succeeds

