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## Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

NFA generalise deterministic finite automata (DFA). They allow several ( 0,1 , or more than 1 ) outgoing transitions with the same label.

An NFA accepts a word if some choice of transitions takes the machine to a final state (other choices may lead to a non-final state; we don't care).


Note: two transitions out of $p$ with input letter $a$, going to $p$ and $q$.
Note: no transitions from $q$ with input letter $b$. The number of transitions may be zero, one or more.

## Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

NFA may have different computations for the same input word.


Consider $w=$ abaa. The first input letter a gives two choices: go to $p$ or go to $q$.

If we go to $q$ there is no transition with $b$; we get stuck.
If we go to $p$ then the second input letter $b$ takes the machine to $p$. Then $a$ and $a$ can take the machine to $q$, then $r$. There exists a path to a final state; abaa is accepted; and

$$
a b a a \in L(A)
$$

## Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

A computation tree summarises computations with input abas:


We see that the NFA accepts exactly those words that contain aa as a subword, so the NFA is equivalent to the DFA of lecture 4 slide 7.

## Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)



Call two automata equivalent when they recognise the same language.

## Precise definition of NFA

An NFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is specified by:

- State set $Q$,
- input alphabet $\Sigma$,
- transition function $\delta$,
- initial state $q_{0}$ and
- the final state set $F$.
$\delta$ is defined differently than for DFAs.
It gives for each state $q$ and input letter a a set $\delta(q, a) \subseteq Q$ of possible next states. Using the power set notation

$$
2^{Q}=\{S \mid S \subseteq Q\} \quad \text { we can write } \quad \delta: Q \times \Sigma \longrightarrow 2^{Q}
$$

## Precise definition of NFA

$\delta$ for the NFA on slide 2 is given as follows:

|  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $\{p, q\}$ | $\{p\}$ |
| $q$ | $\{r\}$ | $\emptyset$ |
| $r$ | $\{r\}$ | $\{r\}$ |



## Extending $\delta$

Extend $\delta$ to $\hat{\delta}$ as we did in DFA. Define

$$
\hat{\delta}: Q \times \Sigma^{*} \longrightarrow 2^{Q}
$$

such that $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$ is the set of all states the machine can reach from state $q$ reading input word $w$.
A recursive definition goes as follows:

1. For every state $q, \quad \hat{\delta}(q, \varepsilon)=\{q\}$.
2. For every state $q$, word $w$ and letter $a$,

$$
\hat{\delta}(q, w a)=\{p \in Q \mid \exists r \in \hat{\delta}(q, w): p \in \delta(r, a)\}=\bigcup_{r \in \hat{\delta}(q, w)} \delta(r, a)
$$



## Extending $\delta$

On single input letters, $\delta$ and $\hat{\delta}$ are equal:

$$
\delta(q, a)=\hat{\delta}(q, a)
$$

So there's no confusion if we drop the hat and write $\delta$ instead of $\hat{\delta}$. In the NFA of slide 2

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta(p, a) & =\{p, q\} \\
\delta(p, a b) & =\{p\}, \\
\delta(p, a b a) & =\{p, q\} \\
\delta(p, a b a a) & =\{p, q, r\}
\end{array}
$$



## Language recognised by an NFA

The language recognised by NFA $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ is

$$
L(A)=\left\{w \in \Sigma^{*} \mid \delta\left(q_{0}, w\right) \cap F \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

That is, $L(A)$ contains the $w$ such that some final state is reachable from $q_{0}$ using $w$.

There might also be non-final states reachable from $q_{0}$, but we don't care.

## Some exercises:

Construct NFAs over $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ that recognise the following languages:

1. Words ending in $a b$.
2. Words containing $a b a$ as a subword.
3. Words starting with $a b$ and ending with $b a$.
4. Words containing two $b s$ separated by an even number of $a s$.

Conversely, determine (and describe in English) the languages recognised by the following NFA:


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

How to check whether this NFA

accepts $w=a b b a a b b$ ?
We check whether any computation paths for $w$ end in a final (accepting) state. This can grow exponentially with the length of the input!

Better to scan the input once, keeping track of the set of possible states.

## Converting an NFA to a DFA

For example, with the example of the previous slide and input $w=a b b a a b b$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{p\} \xrightarrow{a}\{q, r\} \xrightarrow{b}\{p, r\} \xrightarrow{b}\{p, r\} \\
& \xrightarrow{a}\{q, r\} \xrightarrow{a}\{q\} \xrightarrow{b}\{p\} \xrightarrow{b}\{p\}
\end{aligned}
$$


so $\delta(p, w)=\{p\}$, and word $w$ is not accepted because $p$ is not a final state.

Testing is deterministic (even though the NFA is nondeterministic).

## Converting an NFA to a DFA

Makes it 'obvious' that any NFA can be converted to a DFA.
The states of the DFA are sets of states of the NFA; transitions are such that the state of the DFA after input $w$ is $\delta\left(q_{0}, w\right)$ the set of states that can be reached in the NFA with input $w$.

So NFAs are just a convenient shorthand for a (possibly much larger) DFA. NFA only recognise regular languages.

## Converting an NFA to a DFA

Consider


Initially the NFA is in state $p$ so the corresponding DFA is initially in state $\{p\}$.

With input letter a the NFA may move to either state $p$ or $q$, so after input $a$ the DFA will be in state $\{p, q\}$. With input $b$ the NFA remains in state $p$ :


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

Next figure out the transitions from state $\{p, q\}$.
If the DFA is in state $\{p, q\}$ it means that the NFA can be in either state $p$ or $q$. With input $a$ the NFA can move to $p$ or $q$ (if it was in state $p$ ) or to $r$ (if it was in state $q$ ).

Therefore, with input letter $a$ the machine can move to $p$ or $q$ or $r$, and so the DFA must move to $\{p, q, r\}$.
With input $b$ the only transition from states $p$ and $q$ is into $p$. That is why DFA has transition from $\{p, q\}$ back into $\{p\}$ :


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

Consider $\{p, q, r\}$. With input $a$ the NFA can reach any state so the DFA loops to $\{p, q, r\}$. With input $b$ the NFA can move to $p$ (if it was at $p$ ) or to $r$ (if it was at $r$ ) so the DFA has a transition from $\{p, q, r\}$ into $\{p, r\}$ :


We again have a new state $\{p, r\}$ to process. From $p$ and $r$ the NFA can go to any state with input $a$, and to $p$ and $r$ with input $b$ :


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

No new states introduced! All necessary transitions in place!
We still have to calculate final states. The NFA word $w$ if it leads to at least one final state.

The DFA should accept $w$ when it ends to a state $S$ containing at least one final state of the NFA. Our sample NFA has only one final state $r$, so every set containing $r$ is final:


## Converting an NFA to a DFA

The construction is complete. We have a DFA that accepts the same language as the original NFA.

This construction is called a powerset construction. It is plausible (and true) that the powerset construction can be applied to any NFA to convert it into a DFA.

There may be other DFA accepting the same language, some of which may be simpler than the powerset DFA.

We don't care: we only wanted to demonstrate that there exists at least one such DFA.

## $\varepsilon$-moves

Surprisingly useful and convenient: extend NFA by allowing spontaneous transitions.

When an NFA executes a spontaneous transition, known as an $\varepsilon$-move, it changes its state without reading any input letter. Any number of $\varepsilon$-moves are allowed.



Word $w=a a b b b$ is accepted as follows: The first $a$ keeps the machine in state 1 . An $\varepsilon$-move to state 2 is executed without reading any input. Next $a b$ is consumed through states 3 and 2 , followed by another $\varepsilon$-move to state 4 . The last $b b$ keeps the automaton in the accepting state 4.

The automaton of this example accepts any sequence of as followed by any repetition of abs followed by any number of $b s$ :

$$
L(A)=\left\{a^{i}(a b)^{j} b^{k} \mid i, j, k \geq 0\right\}
$$

