

Formal Specification F28FS2, Lecture 11

ML as an implementation of Z

Jamie Gabbay

March 11, 2014

Translating the types

Recall the F28PL course on ML. We will now apply this to Z .

Model integers \mathbb{Z} , natural numbers \mathbb{N} , and nonzero natural numbers \mathbb{N}_1 as `int`.

Model powerset $\mathbb{P}T$ as `T list` (only good for finite sets, but often that is enough). So for instance, the Z type $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{N}_1$ is modelled in ML as `int list list`.

Lists are ordered and may contain repetitions. This will be fine so long as we only write programs on lists that are **not** sensitive to order or repetitions, they 'might as well' be sets.

Translating the types

Model sequence $seq\ T$, $iseq\ T$, and $seq_1\ T$ as a list $T\ list$. So for instance, the \mathbb{Z} type $\mathbb{P}(seq(iseq(\mathbb{N})))$ is modelled in ML as `int list list list`.

Model function types as ML function types. So for instance, $\mathbb{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is modelled in ML as `int -> int`.

Model predicates as ML function types to `bool`. So for instance, a binary predicate on numbers such as $<$ is modelled in ML as a term of type `int*int -> bool`.

Fibonacci in Z and in ML

Fibonacci numbers specified in Z:

Fibonacci

$\text{fib} : \text{seq } \mathbb{N}$

$\text{fib}(1) = 1$

$\text{fib}(2) = 2$

$\forall n:\mathbb{N} \mid n \geq 2 \bullet \text{fib}(n) = \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2)$

Translation to ML:

```
fun fib 1 = 1
  | fib 2 = 2
  | fib n = fib(n-1) + fib(n-2);
```

Ackermann function

Ackermann function specified in Z:

Ackermann

$\text{ack} : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$\forall n:\mathbb{N} \bullet \text{ack}(0, n) = n + 1$

$\forall m:\mathbb{N} \mid m > 0 \bullet \text{ack}(m, 0) = \text{ack}(m-1, 1)$

$\forall m, n:\mathbb{N} \mid m > 0 \wedge n > 0 \bullet \text{ack}(m, n) = \text{ack}(m-1, \text{ack}(m, n-1))$

Translation to ML:

```
fun ack(0,n) = n+1
  | ack(m,0) = ack(m-1,1)
  | ack(m,n) = ack(m-1,ack(m,n-1));
```

Ackermann function: Z and ML

Compare and contrast the Z spec with the ML function:

The Z spec has quantifiers; the ML function does not. In ML, (universal) quantifiers are implicit in the pattern-matching.

The Z spec has guards, such as $m > 0$ and $n > 0$; the ML function does not. Guards are implicit in the evaluation order in ML.

The Z spec does **not** have an underlying abstract machine or evaluation order. ML does.

The Z spec is agnostic about the underlying implementation; it does not care if we implement in ML, C, or Brainf*ck—or if we implement at all, or if any implementation even exists. A schema is not a program!

The ML code is still abstract and high-level, but it assumes an underlying machine (and more specifically: top-down left-right eager λ -calculus). For this we sacrifice abstractness.

Sets membership

If $x : T$ and $X : \mathbb{P}T$ then ' $x \in X$ ' is a predicate asserting that x is an element of X . So for instance, $\text{Andrex} \in \text{FamousBrandNames} : \mathbb{P}\text{BRANDNAME}$ is valid.

Sets membership in ML:

```
fun member x [] = false
  | member x (hd::tl) = (x=hd) orelse member x tl;
```

```
val member = fn : 'a -> 'a list -> bool
member 5 [1,2,3];
val it = false : bool
member 5 [1,5,5,6];
val it = true : bool
```

Sets subtraction

SetMinusT

$\backslash : \mathbb{P}T \times \mathbb{P}T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}T$

$\forall X, Y: \mathbb{P}T \bullet X \backslash Y = \{x: T \mid x \in X \wedge x \notin Y\}$

Translation to ML:

```
fun smin [] Y = []
  | smin (hd::tl) Y = if (member hd Y)
                       then (smin tl Y)
                       else hd::(smin tl Y);

val smin = fn : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

Sets intersection

Just the predicate:

$$X \cap Y = \{x : T \mid x \in X \wedge x \in Y\}$$

Translation to ML:

```
fun sint [] Y = []  
  | sint (hd::tl) Y = if (member hd Y)  
                       then hd::(sint tl Y)  
                       else (sint tl Y);  
  
val sint = fn : ''a list -> ''a list -> ''a list
```

Sets union

Just the predicate:

$$X \cup Y = \{x : T \mid x \in X \vee x \in Y\}$$

Translation to ML:

```
fun suni [] Y = Y
  | suni (hd::tl) Y = if (member hd Y)
                       then (suni tl Y)
                       else hd::(suni tl Y);

val suni = fn : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

Or:

```
fun suni' X Y = X @ Y
```

(See also [concatenation](#) below.) Compare and contrast the two implementations above.

sun_i and sun_i'

sun_i is relatively slow ($O(n)$ where $n = \#X$), whereas sun_i' is relatively quick (depending on implementation; constant time?).

sun_i tends to eliminate repetitions, e.g. sun_i X X will return X.
sun_i' tends to create repetition, e.g. sun_i' X X will return two copies of X strung together.

So sun_i is good if we care to operate on the result many times, so want an economical representation of the set (no repetitions).

sun_i' is good if we do not care about efficiency.

sun1 and sun1'

Note that 'equality' on `int list` depends on where the `int` came from; if it came from $\text{seq } \mathbb{N}$ then we care about repetition and ordering, whereas if it came from $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{N}$ then we do not, and two ML lists are 'equal' if they are equal up to repetitions and reordering.

In mathematical computer science, equality is typically a more subtle issue than in pure mathematics.

There may not even be a well-defined notion of equality; e.g. one way to phrase Gödel's incompleteness theorem is that even on the type `unit -> unit`, there is no computable equality.

Stacks and push

Model a stack l of elements of T as $seq\ T$.

A schema to push l :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{push} \\ l, l' : seq\ T \\ hd? : T \end{array}}{l' = \{1 \mapsto hd?\} \cup \{i \mapsto x : l \bullet i + 1 \mapsto x\}}$$

Implementation in ML:

```
fun push hd l = hd::l;
```

Pop

A schema to pop from l :

pop $l, l' : seq\ T$ $hd! : T$
$\#l > 0$ $hd! = l(1)$ $l' = \{i : \text{dom}(l) \mid i > 1 \bullet i-1 \mapsto l(i)\}$

Implementation in ML:

```
fun pop (hd::tl) = (hd,tl);
```

Concatenation

Recall concatenation:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{T cat} \\ \hline \wedge : \text{seq } T \times \text{seq } T \rightarrow \text{seq } T \\ \hline \forall s, t : \text{seq } T \bullet \\ s \wedge t = s \cup \{n \in \text{dom}(t) \bullet (n + \#s) \mapsto t(n)\} \end{array}$$

Implementation in ML (not what I'm looking for):

```
fun concat l1 l2 = l1@l2;
```

Implementation in ML (what I'm looking for):

```
fun conc [] l = l  
  | conc (hd::tl) l = hd::(conc tl l);
```

Filtering

Model a predicate on T as a function $T \rightarrow \text{Bool}$.

Recall if $L : \text{seq } T$ and $T' \subseteq T$ then $L \upharpoonright T'$ is the sequence of elements in L that are also in T' .

For example $[tom, dick, harry] \upharpoonright \{tom, harry, jones\} = [tom, harry]$.

Implementation of filtering in ML:

```
fun filter [] P = []
  | filter (hd::tl) P = if (P hd)
                        then hd::(filter tl P)
                        else (filter tl P);

val filter = fn : 'a list -> ('a -> bool) -> 'a list
filter [1,2,3,4] (fn x => not(x=3));
val it = [1,2,4] : int list
```

Filtering

The set $T' \subseteq T$ became a predicate $P : 'a \rightarrow \text{bool}$.

Sets T and predicates P are equivalent in Z . Isomorphism given by:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P & \longmapsto & \{x : T \mid P(x)\} \\ \lambda x : T. x \in T' & \longleftarrow & T' \end{array}$$

ML has two implementations of a predicate on \mathbb{N} : as a function $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, and as a set int list .

Compare and contrast these two: int list is an equality type; $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is not. int list only permits finite sets (such as $[1,2,3]$); $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ permits, and indeed invites, infinite functions (such as 'is even').

$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is the natural model of predicates on \mathbb{N} in Z .

int list is the natural model of powerset \mathbb{N} in Z .

Even though in Z , predicates and subsets are isomorphic!

p.s. for the keen: see [streams](#); infinite lists.

Sets by range

```
range m n = if (m>n) then [] else m::(range (m+1) n);
```

```
val range = fn : int -> int -> int list  
range 0 5; val it = [0,1,2,3,4,5] : int list
```

This models the set $0..5 : \mathbb{PZ}$ (and also $0..5 : \mathbb{PN}$).

Quantification

Consider

```
fun all [] P = true
  | all (hd::tl) P = (P hd) andalso (all tl P);
val all = fn : 'a list -> ('a -> bool) -> bool
fun exists [] P = false
  | exists (hd::tl) P = (P hd) orelse (exists tl P);
val exists = fn : 'a list -> ('a -> bool) -> bool
```

Q. Translate the predicate $\forall x : 1..10 \bullet x^2 \geq x$ into ML.

A. `all (range 1 10) (fn x => x*x>=x)`.

Divisibility

$x|y$ (x divides y) when $\exists z : \mathbb{N} \mid z \leq y \bullet z * x = y$.

In ML:

```
fun divides x y = exists (range 0 y) (fn z => z*x=y)
- divides 4 10;
val it = false : bool
- divides 5 10;
val it = true : bool
```

Prime

y is prime when $\forall x : \mathbb{N} \mid x|y \bullet x = 1 \vee x = y$.

In ML:

```
fun prime y = all (range 2 (y-1)) (fn x => not
  (divides x y));
```

```
- prime 1;
```

```
val it = true : bool
```

```
- prime 2;
```

```
val it = true : bool
```

```
- prime 3;
```

```
val it = true : bool
```

```
- prime 4;
```

```
val it = false : bool
```

Arguably slight bug in this; 1 is not generally considered a prime number.

Map

Recall $\text{map} : ('a \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$.

In ML:

```
fun map f [] = []  
  | map f (hd:::tl) = (f hd)::(map f tl);
```

Exercise: specify what ML does as a Z schema, thus

$\frac{\text{map}}{\text{map} : (T \rightarrow T') \rightarrow \text{seq } T \rightarrow \text{seq } T'}$
...

map is the primitive of supercomputer architecture (highly parallel, stream processor based); guarantee of non-interference given by the ML language itself, which is purely functional (kind of).

Exercises

Express the following in ML:

1. The elements of $\mathcal{X} : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{Z}$ are pairwise disjoint (that is, $\forall X, Y : \mathcal{X} \bullet X = Y \vee X \cap Y = \emptyset$).
2. \mathcal{X} covers X (that is, $\bigcup \mathcal{X} = X$).
3. $\mathcal{X} : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{Z}$ is a partition of $X : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{Z}$ (that is, \mathcal{X} covers X and its elements are pairwise disjoint).
4. Using `filter` and `divides` or otherwise, write a function which inputs x and returns the list of prime numbers from 1 to x (see the [Sieve of Eratosthenes](#)).

Exercises

Express the following in ML:

1. An ML type to model $\mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$.
2. A function to check that x is in the model of this type and not, say, of $\mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
3. Domain restriction $S \triangleleft f$ where $S : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{N}$ (modelled as a set) and $f : \mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
4. Domain restriction $S \triangleleft f$ where $S : \mathbb{P}\mathbb{N}$ (modelled as a predicate) and $f : \mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
5. Range anti-restriction $f \triangleright S$.