Makanin-Razborov diagrams

Montserrat Casals-Ruiz, Ilya Kazachkov

Ikerbasque, UPV/EHU

Les Diablerets

March 7-10, 2016

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Basic definitions: Equations

Let F = F(A) be the free group on A, F(X) be a free group with basis $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. Set F[X] = F * F(X).

• An equation over F is an expression of the form

w = 1, where $w \in F * F(X)$.

- A system of equations S over F is a collection of equations.
- Alternatively, an equation is an atomic formula in the language of groups (with constants).

Basic definitions: Solutions

• A solution of $S \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$:

 $(g_1,\ldots,g_k)\in F^k$ so that $w(g_1,\ldots,g_k)=1$ in F

for all w in S.

• Equivalently, a solution of S is a homomorphism

 $\varphi: F[X] \to F$ so that $S \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$

that is a homomorphism

 $\varphi: F[X]/\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle = \langle A, X \mid S \rangle \to F$

- Let S be a system of equations:
 - Does S have a solution?
 - 2 Can one describe the set of all solutions of S?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Linear equations

$$S = \{xyz = 1\}$$
$$F_{R(S)} = \langle x, y, z \mid xyz = 1 \rangle \simeq \langle x, y \rangle =$$
$$Hom(F_2, F) \simeq F \times F$$

or

$$V(S) = \{(u, w, w^{-1}v^{-1}) \mid u, w \in F\}.$$

 F_2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

CONCLUSION: Linear equations always have solutions and we can describe their solution sets. In fact, if $F_{R(S)}$ is a free group, we understand $Hom(F_{R(S)}, F)$.

Quadratic equations: abelian case

 $S = \{[x, y] = 1\}$ and so $F_{R(S)} = \langle x, y \mid [x, y] = 1 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The solution set $V(S) = \{(w^k, w^l) \mid w \in F, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

Homomorphisms ϕ from $\mathbb{Z}^2 \to F$ map x to w^k and y to w^l We can find an automorphism β of \mathbb{Z}^2 so that

And obtain the following diagram

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

Abelian case

Every homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to F$ is the composition of an automorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n , the epimorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ and a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to F$.

 $\mathbb{CZ}^n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \to F.$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Quadratic equations: orientable case

Consider the following quadratic equation in variables x, y, z, t:

[x,y][z,t] = 1

The group

$$G = \langle x, y, z, t \mid [x, y][z, t] = 1 \rangle$$

is the fundamental group of an orientable surface of genus 2.



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Quadratic equations: orientable case



 $<\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{t}\mid [\mathbf{x},\!\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{z},\!\mathbf{t}]\!=\!1>$

.

$$\begin{array}{c} x \longrightarrow a \\ y \longrightarrow 1 \\ z \longrightarrow b \\ t \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

b

・ロト ・ 日本 ・ 日本 ・ 日本



а

Quadratic equations: non-orientable case

Consider the quadratic equation in variables x, y, z, t:

 $x^2y^2z^2t^2 = 1$

The group

$$G = \left\langle x, y, z, t \mid x^2 y^2 z^2 t^2 = 1 \right\rangle$$

is the fundamental group of a non-orientable surface of genus 4.

$$G = \left\langle x, y, z, t \mid x^2 y^2 z^2 t^2 = 1 \right\rangle$$

Theorem (Grigorchuk-Kurchanov, 1989) Any homomorphism $\varphi : G \to F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ factors through one of 3 non-equivalent epimorphisms.

Quadratic equations: non-orientable case



< x, y, z, t | x² y² z² t² =1>



b

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()



а

Makanin-Razborov diagrams



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ Generalised equation Algorithm: "make variables free or

System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

◆□▶ ◆@▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣…

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$

Generalised equation

Algorithm: "make variables free or constants"

System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ Generalised equation

Algorithm: "make variables free or constants"

System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$

Generalised equation

Algorithm: "make variables free or constants"

System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$

Generalised equation

Algorithm: "make variables free or constants"

System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ Generalised equation Algorithm: "make variables free or constants"

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

- encode the problem
- use transformations to make the problem/objects "easier"
- stop when the problem is easy/obvious

Linear system of equations $S \rightarrow M$

Matrix

Algorithm: "put 0" below the diagonal

Triangular matrices

System of equations over a free group $S \rightarrow \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ Generalised equation Algorithm: "make variables free or constants" System of equations $x_i = a_i$, where $a_i \in F$.

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

sequence of matrices

finite (always terminates)

"solutions in matrices" correspond to solutions of the system. tree infinite for any *S* exists K(S) such that solution is in $\mathcal{T}_{K(S)}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

sequence of matrices

finite (always terminates)

"solutions in matrices" correspond to solutions of the system.

tree

infinite

for any S exists K(S) such that solution is in $T_{K(S)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

sequence of matrices

finite (always terminates)

"solutions in matrices" correspond to solutions of the system.

tree

infinite

for any *S* exists K(S) such that solution is in $T_{K(S)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

GAUSS ELIMINATION vs MAKANIN

sequence of matrices

finite (always terminates)

"solutions in matrices" correspond to solutions of the system. tree

infinite

for any *S* exists K(S) such that solution is in $T_{K(S)}$.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Algorithm: outline

Goal: Transform a system into finitely many simpler systems.

S_0

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>

Algorithm: outline



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Algorithm: outline



From system of equations to a single equation

Systems of equations are not more complicated than one equation:

$$U = 1, V = 1 \Leftrightarrow U^2 a U^2 a^{-1} = (V b V b^{-1})^2$$

From one equation to a triangular system



WLOG all equations of a system are triangular: xyz = 1. Cancellation schemes for the equation xyz = 1 in the free group:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

To each cancellation scheme one can associate a system of equations



・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ うらつ

To each cancellation scheme one can associate a system of equations



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

To each cancellation scheme one can associate a system of equations



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲園▶ ▲園▶ 三国 - のへ⊙





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ 釣��

- Begin from system, pass to 1 equation and to a triangular system.
- Consider all possible cancellation schemes for all equations of the system and obtain MANY systems of equations.
- The equations in these systems become graphical.
- Every solution of the original system defines a solution of one of the new systems.
- Any solution of any of the new systems lifts to a solution of the original system.

・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

- Begin from system, pass to 1 equation and to a triangular system.
- Consider all possible cancellation schemes for all equations of the system and obtain MANY systems of equations.
- The equations in these systems become graphical.
- Every solution of the original system defines a solution of one of the new systems.
- Any solution of any of the new systems lifts to a solution of the original system.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ うらつ

- Begin from system, pass to 1 equation and to a triangular system.
- Consider all possible cancellation schemes for all equations of the system and obtain MANY systems of equations.
- The equations in these systems become graphical.
- Every solution of the original system defines a solution of one of the new systems.
- Any solution of any of the new systems lifts to a solution of the original system.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ うらつ

- Begin from system, pass to 1 equation and to a triangular system.
- Consider all possible cancellation schemes for all equations of the system and obtain MANY systems of equations.
- The equations in these systems become graphical.
- Every solution of the original system defines a solution of one of the new systems.
- Any solution of any of the new systems lifts to a solution of the original system.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- Begin from system, pass to 1 equation and to a triangular system.
- Consider all possible cancellation schemes for all equations of the system and obtain MANY systems of equations.
- The equations in these systems become graphical.
- Every solution of the original system defines a solution of one of the new systems.
- Any solution of any of the new systems lifts to a solution of the original system.

Generalised equations: example



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○ のへで

Generalised equations: example



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○ = ○ ○ ○ ○

Two types of moves:

Simplify the generalised equation whenever possible;

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

2 Move bases to the right.





Dividing the problem



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 _ のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Group-theoretic structure

Matrix to affine subspace MR diagram to tower



Applications

 MR process ↔ Stable actions on ℝ-trees ↔ Splittings of groups, JSJ-decompositions.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Omplexity.

Applications

 MR process ↔ Stable actions on ℝ-trees ↔ Splittings of groups, JSJ-decompositions.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Omplexity.