

ADVANCED PDE II - LECTURE 6

PIETER BLUE AND OANA POCOVNICU

Warning: This is a first draft of the lecture notes and should be used with care!

1. SOME GEOMETRY

1.1. **Tensor notation without manifolds.** Consider \mathbb{R}^N . We use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} &= (x^1, \dots, x^N), \\ \partial_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \\ \vec{\partial} &= (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N).\end{aligned}$$

At this stage, we do not consider an inner product on \mathbb{R}^N .

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Recall that a vector field is a map $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ that defines a vector at each point in the set Ω . In this perspective, it is useful to distinguish between points and vectors. We denote the components of X by $\{X^i\}_{i=1}^N$.

Recall that the directional derivative of a C^1 function, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is given by $\nabla_X f = \sum_i X^i \partial_i f$. Summations from 1 to N of this type are so common that we use the Einstein summation convention, that when there is exactly one subscript and one superscript in a formula, they are understood to be summed over. Such summations are called contractions over the index or simply contraction. Thus, we write,

$$\nabla_X f = (\partial_i f) X^i.$$

Typically, we identify a vector field with a differential operator by

$$X = X^i \partial_i.$$

Crucial in the use of this notation is the geometric fact that in a formula a single index should never appear more than twice and that when it appear twice, it appears once a subscript and once as a superscript. If you are unfamiliar with this notation, it may help to think of vectors as column vectors, the gradient as a row vector, and the components of a matrix A which takes vectors to vectors as being A^j_i . Moving the indices on a tensor radically changes the nature of the tensor!

A 1-form is an object with one subscript and no superscripts. The gradient of a function f is the 1-form defined to have components

$$\begin{aligned}\text{grad} f &= \vec{\partial} f = (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_N f), \\ (\text{grad} f)_i &= \partial_i f.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the gradient is not a vector field.

A tensor is an object with indices in $\{1, \dots, N\}$. A particularly important tensor is the Kronecker delta, given by

$$\delta_i^j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Occasionally, we will consider the tensors defined by $\delta_{ij} = \delta^{ij} = \delta_i^j$, but be aware that these are radically different objects; δ_i^j is a map from vectors to vectors, whereas δ_{ij} is a map from vectors to 1-forms and defines an inner product on vectors. Another is the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N}$, which is uniquely defined by the conditions that $\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_N}$ is antisymmetric in every pair of indices and $\epsilon_{1 \dots N} = 1$.

1.2. The divergence theorem. We define smooth to mean C^∞ .

We define a nondegenerate parameterisation of a smooth hypersurface to be a smooth map $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ such that R is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and such that, at each $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the $n \times (n+1)$ matrix of partial derivatives has rank n . We define $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ to be a smooth hypersurface if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, there is a neighbourhood N_x of x and a nondegenerate parameterisation of a hypersurface $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, such that $f(R) = \Sigma \cap N_x$. Given a nondegenerate parameterisation of a smooth hypersurface, $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, we define the normal 1-form to be the map $\nu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ with components

$$\nu_i = \epsilon_{ii_1 \dots i_n} \frac{\partial f^{i_1}}{\partial y^1} \frac{\partial f^{i_n}}{\partial y^n}.$$

Recall that if R be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ be a nondegenerate parameterisation of a smooth hypersurface, and F a C^0 map from $f(R)$ to \mathbb{R}^{1+n}

$$\int_{f(R)} F^i d\nu_i = \int_R F(y)^i \nu_i dy^1 \dots dy^n.$$

(Typically F will be a smooth vector field on a neighbourhood of $f(R)$.)

We define a region to be a connected open set such that the boundary is a finite union of closures of smooth hypersurfaces. From the implicit function theorem and the nondegeneracy condition, around any point in a hypersurface, it can be written locally as a graph over one of the coordinate hyperplanes, i.e. one of the coordinates can be written as a function of the others. Given a region Ω and a point x on its boundary, a vector T is defined to leave Ω if there is a sufficiently small ϵ such that $\forall s \in (-\epsilon, 0) : x + sT \in \Omega$ and $\forall s \in (0, \epsilon) : x + sT \notin \Omega$. Given a region Ω with boundary Σ and a point $x \in \Sigma$ with a neighbourhood N_x , a nondegenerate parameterisation $f : \Sigma \cap N_x$ is defined to be outward pointing if, for every outward vector T at x , $\nu_i T^i \geq 0$. Recall that if Ω is a region, if its boundary is a finite union of closures of smooth hypersurfaces all of which have parameterisations with outward normal 1-forms¹. If Ω is a region with boundary $\partial\Omega$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{f_\alpha : R_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}\}_{\alpha=1}^M$ is a collection of nondegenerate parameterisations of smooth

¹Be aware that, in Minkowski space, if ν is an outward 1-form, it does not mean that the vector with components $\nu^i = \eta^{ij} \nu_j$ is an outward vector. This fact is an unavoidable consequence of the fact that $\eta_{00} = -1$ but $\eta_{ii} = 1$ for $i \neq 0$.

hypersurfaces such that the closure of $\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^M f_\alpha(R_\alpha)$ is the boundary of Ω and each normal is outward pointing, and $F : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$, then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} F^i d\nu_i = \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{f_\alpha(R_\alpha)} F^i d\nu_i.$$

The right-hand side in the previous formula is independent of the choice of parameterisation on the left. In \mathbb{R}^{1+n} , we typically use $dvol$ for $dx^0 \dots dx^n$.

Theorem 1.1 (Divergence theorem). *Let Ω be a region with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let Ω' be an open set such that $\Omega \subset \Omega'$. If $F : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ is C^1 , then*

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_i F^i) dvol = \int_{\partial\Omega} F^i d\nu_i.$$

1.3. Tensors in the Minkowski spacetime. Consider $\mathbb{R}^{1+n} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. This is as in the general case of \mathbb{R}^N , except that we now index coordinates from 0 to n , instead of 1 to N . Furthermore, we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x^0, \vec{x}) = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n), \\ \partial &= (\partial_0, \vec{\partial}) = (\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n). \end{aligned}$$

Two tensors that are frequently, but not always, useful are given by

$$\eta_{ij} = \eta^{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = j = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Both of these are called the Minkowski metric. Observe that these are symmetric and that

$$\eta_{ik} \eta^{kj} = \delta_i^j.$$

Minkowski space refers to \mathbb{R}^{1+n} with η_{ij} .

If a hypersurface is given as the graph over the spatial coordinates, i.e. $f(\vec{y}) = (\phi(\vec{y}), \text{vec } y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= (1, -\vec{\partial}\phi), \\ \nu_0 &= 1, \\ \nu_i &= -\partial_i \phi \quad \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We use the following norms

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{\partial}u| &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\partial_i u|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ |u|_1 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n |\partial_i u|^2 + |u|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

2. QUASI-LINEAR WAVES: AN INTRODUCTION

2.1. The basic form.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$.

Condition $0(\Omega)$ is that Ω is connected with nonempty interior, that G^{ij} , B^i , A , and F are measurable tensor fields on Ω , that $G^{ij} = G^{ji}$, and that there is a second-order differential operator L on Ω given by

$$Lu = G^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j u + B^i \partial_i u + Au$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cap \{\infty\}$, condition $1(s, \Omega)$ is that condition $0(\Omega)$ holds and that the tensor fields G^{ij} , B^i , A , and F are functions of $x \in \Omega$ that are C_b^r in the interior of Ω and have limits on the boundary of Ω for all their partial derivatives of orders up to k .

Condition $1Q(\Omega)$ is that condition $0(\Omega)$ holds and that G^{ij} , B^i , A , and F are C^∞ functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ and are understood to be functions of $(x, u, \partial u)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ and condition $0(\Omega)$ hold. For $\epsilon > 0$, G is ϵ close to η if

$$\max_{l,m \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \left| G^{lm} - \eta^{lm} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(n+1) + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}} \epsilon.$$

2.2. Energy and momentum.

Definition 2.3. Assume condition $1(1, \Omega)$ from definition 2.1 holds. An energy-momentum-stress tensor is defined as a local² map from $C^1(\Omega)$ to tensor fields by

$$\mathcal{T}[u]^i_j = G^{ik} \partial_k u \partial_j u - \frac{1}{2} \delta^i_j \left(G^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_m u + u^2 \right).$$

Given a vector-field $X \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{1+n})$, we define the associated momentum to be

$$\mathcal{P}_{(X)}[u]^i = \mathcal{T}[u]^i_j X^j.$$

Given a hypersurface Σ , we define the energy of u associated with X on the hypersurface Σ to be

$$E_X[u](\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{P}_{(X)}[u]^i d\nu_i.$$

If some arguments are clear from context, they will be dropped. For example, we will often write \mathcal{T}^i_j for \mathcal{T}^i_j . Frequently, we will use the notation

$$E_X(t) = E_X(\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

For other problems and in other cases, it may be useful to consider other energy-momentum tensors and to construct from them momenta and energies.

²A map on C^k is local if its value at a point depends only on the first k derivatives of its argument evaluated at the point.

Lemma 2.4 (Quasi-linear Klein-Gordon energy estimate in divergence-form). *Assume condition 1(1, Ω) from definition 2.1. If $X \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i \mathcal{T}^i_j &= \left((\partial_i G^{ik}) \partial_k u + Lu - B^i \partial_i u - (a+1)u \right) \partial_j u - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_j G^{lm}) \partial_l u \partial_m u, \\ \partial_i \mathcal{P}_{(X)}^i &= \partial_i \mathcal{T}^i_j X^j + \mathcal{T}^i_j \partial_i X^j.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i \mathcal{T}^i_j &= (\partial_i G^{ik}) \partial_k u \partial_j u + G^{ik} \partial_i \partial_k u \partial_j u + G^{ik} \partial_k u \partial_i \partial_j u \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i G^{lm}) \partial_l u \partial_m u - G^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_i \partial_m u + u \partial_j u.\end{aligned}$$

The third and fifth terms cancel. Substituting the definition of L gives the remaining result.

The second result follows from the product rule. \square

Lemma 2.5 (Positivity of energy density). *Assume condition 1(1, Ω) from definition 2.1, $\epsilon > 0$, and G is ϵ close to η as in definition 2.2.*

If $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ and $X \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{1+n})$

$$\begin{aligned}\left| -\mathcal{T}^0_0 - \frac{1}{2} |u|_1^2 \right| &\leq \epsilon |u|_1^2, \\ \text{If } i \neq 0 \quad \left| \mathcal{T}^i_0 \right| &\leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2} |u|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6. *Observe that the first estimate implies that \mathcal{T}^0_0 and $|u|_1^2$ are not only uniformly equivalent, but that the constants relating the quantities are very close to 1/2.*

Proof. First observe that

$$\eta^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_m u = -(\partial_t u)^2 + |\vec{\partial} u|^2,$$

so

$$-\eta^{00} \partial_t u \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_m u + u^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|\partial_t u|^2 + |\vec{\partial} u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} |u|_1^2.$$

Furthermore, for $i \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^{ik} \partial_k u \partial_t u &= \partial_i u \partial_t u, \\ \left| \eta^{ik} \partial_k u \partial_t u \right| &= |\partial_i u| |\partial_t u| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(|\vec{\partial} u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} |u|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Turning to the terms to be estimated in the current lemma, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathcal{T}^0_0 &= -G^{0k} \partial_k u \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} G^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_m u \\ &= -\eta^{0k} \partial_k u \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{lm} \partial_l u \partial_m u \\ &\quad + (-G^{0k} + \eta^{0k}) \partial_k u \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \left(G^{lm} - \eta^{lm} \right) \partial_l u \partial_m u, \\ \left| -\mathcal{T}^0_0 - \frac{1}{2} |u|_1^2 \right| &\leq \max_k | -G^{0k} + \eta^{0k} | (n+1) |\partial u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \max_{lm} | -G^{lm} + \eta^{lm} | (n+1)^2 |\partial u|^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon |u|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^j_0 &= G^{jk} \partial_k u \partial_t u, \\ &= \eta^{jk} \partial_k u \partial_t u + (G^{jk} - \eta^{jk}) \partial_k u \partial_t u, \\ |\mathcal{T}^j_0| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + \epsilon) |u|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

3. UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS AND FINITE SPEED OF PROPOGATION

Theorem 3.1 (C^2 uniqueness of the zero solution). *Let $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$, $T > 0$ and $\vec{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let*

$$\begin{aligned}c &= \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}, \\ B &= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| \leq cT\}, \\ \Lambda &= \{(t, \vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : t \in [0, T], |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| \leq c(T - t)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume either

- that condition 1(1, Λ) holds³ and G is ϵ close to η , or
- that condition 1Q(Λ) holds and that $G(x, 0, 0)$ is ϵ close to η for $x \in \Lambda$.

Furthermore, suppose there is a constant C such that everywhere in Λ

$$|F| \leq C|u|_1.$$

If $u \in C^2$ is a solution of

$$Lu = F, \quad \forall \vec{x} \in B : u(0, \vec{x}) = 0, \partial_t u(0, \vec{x}) = 0,$$

then $u = 0$ in Λ .

Proof. To begin assume condition 1(∞ , Λ) and G is ϵ close to η .

Step 1: Foliate the cone. Define, for $\tau \in [0, T]$, $\phi_\tau : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi_\tau(\vec{x}) = \tau - \frac{\tau}{cT} |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|,$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_\tau &= \{(t, \vec{x}) : \vec{x} \in B, t = \phi_\tau(\vec{x})\}, \\ \Lambda_\tau &= \bigcup_{\tau' \in [0, \tau]} \Sigma_{\tau'}.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_T.$$

Also, Σ_τ is the graph of $t = \phi_\tau$, so its normal is given by

$$\nu_0 = 1, \quad \nu_i = -\partial_i \phi_\tau = \frac{\tau}{cT} \frac{(x^i - x_0^i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|}.$$

³In lecture, I mistakenly said condition 1(∞ , Λ). We only need G^{ij} , B^i , A , F to be C^1 to hold. Furthermore, in step 5, when we pass to the quasilinear case, we need to allow G to be merely C^1 .

From now on, if no argument is given, quantities are evaluated at $(\phi_\tau(\vec{x}), \vec{x})$. We will only consider $\tau \in [0, T]$ and $\vec{x} \in B$.

Step 2: Estimate the energy on slices. Consider the energy associated with $T = -\partial_t$, which has $T^0 = -1$ and $T^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Observe that for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{(X)}^i = -\mathcal{T}^i_j \partial_t^j = -\mathcal{T}^i_0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Sigma_\tau) &= \int_{\Sigma_\tau} \mathcal{P}^i d\nu_i \\ &= \int_B \mathcal{P}^i \nu_i d^n \vec{x} \\ &\geq \int_B \mathcal{P}^0 \cdot 1 d^n \vec{x} - \int_B |\vec{\mathcal{P}}| |\vec{\partial} \phi_\tau| d^n \vec{x} \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \int_B |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x} - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau}{cT} \int_B |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x} \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{T}\right) \frac{1}{2} \int_B |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Estimate the change in energy. On the other hand, from the energy estimate in divergence form, we find there is a constant C_1 such that

$$|\partial_i \mathcal{P}^i| \leq C_1 |u|_1^2.$$

We now wish to integrate this over $\Lambda_\tau = \bigcup_{\tau' \in [0, \tau]} \Sigma_{\tau'}$. In doing so, it is convenient to introduce new coordinates (τ, \vec{x}) . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dt}{d\tau'} &= 1 - \frac{1}{cT} |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|, \\ \left| \frac{dt}{d\tau'} \right| &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Sigma_\tau) - E(\Sigma_0) &\leq \int_{\Lambda_\tau} |\partial_i \mathcal{P}^i| dt d^n \vec{x} \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\vec{x} \in B} \int_0^\tau |u|_1^2 \left| \frac{dt}{d\tau'} \right| d\tau' d^n \vec{x} \\ &\leq \int_0^\tau C_1 \int_{\vec{x} \in B} |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x} d\tau' \\ &\leq \int_0^\tau C_1 \int_{\vec{x} \in B} |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x} d\tau'. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Finish with Gronwall's inequality. Let $T_0 < T$. Thus, there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for $\tau \in [0, T_0]$

$$(1 - \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{T}\right) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T}\right) \geq C_2.$$

Let $f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_B |u|_1^2 d^n \vec{x}$. Combining the previous two steps, we find there is a constant C_3 such that for $\tau \in [0, T_0]$,

$$f(\tau) \leq f(0) + \int_0^\tau C_3 f(\tau') d\tau'.$$

Since $f(0) = 0$, by Gronwall's inequality, we find $f(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \in [0, T_0]$. This implies that $u = 0$ in Λ_{T_0} for all $T_0 < T$. Taking the limit as $T_0 \rightarrow T$ and using the continuity of u , u must vanish in $\Lambda = \Lambda_T$.

Step 5: Treat the quasi-linear case. Since $\epsilon < 1$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ be such that $\epsilon + \delta \in (0, 1)$. Let T_0 be the supremum value of t such that G is ϵ close to η on Λ_t . Since $G(x, 0, 0)$ is ϵ close to η , $T_0 \geq 0$. Furthermore, since $u \in C^2$, it means that G^{ij} is at least C^1 , so $T_0 > 0$. However, if $T_0 < T$ by applying the theorem in the $1(1, \Lambda_{T_0})$, we find u is zero on Λ_{T_0} , which, by continuity of G implies, that G is $\epsilon + \delta$ close to η on a slightly larger set, contradicting the definition of T_0 . Taking the limit as $\delta \searrow 0$, we find $T_0 \geq T$. Applying the theorem in the $1(1, \Lambda_T)$ shows $u = 0$ on Λ . \square

4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR LINEAR EQUATIONS

Theorem 4.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$,*